arXiv:1609.08908v1 [math.RT] 28 Sep 2016

Cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras and Hecke algebra of $G(r,p,n)$

Salim Rostam

Abstract

Given a quiver automorphism with nice properties, we give a presentation of the fixed subalgebra of the associated cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra. Generalising an isomorphism of Brundan and Kleshchev between the cyclotomic Hecke algebra of type $G(r,1,n)$ and the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra of type A, we apply the previous result to find a presentation of the cyclotomic Hecke algebra of type $G(r,p,n)$ which looks very similar to the one of a cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra. In the meanwhile, we give an explicit isomorphism which realises a well-known Morita equivalence between Ariki–Koike algebras.

Introduction

Generalising real reflection groups, also known as finite Coxeter groups, complex reflection groups are finite groups spanned by complex reflections, that is, by endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^n$ which fix an hyperplane. As well as for Coxeter groups, there is a classification of irreducible complex reflection groups ([ShTo]); this classification is given by an infinite series $\{G(r,p,n)\}$ where r,p,n are positive integers with $r=dp$ for $d\in\mathbb{N}^*$, together with 34 exceptional groups. More precisely, the group $G(r,p,n)$ can be seen as the group consisting of all size n monomial matrices such that each non-zero coefficient is a complex rth root of unity, and the product of all non-zero entries is a dth root of unity. If $\xi\in\mathbb{C}^\times$ is a primitive rth root of unity, the latter group is generated by the elements:

$$s\coloneqq \xi^p E_{1,1}+\sum_{k=2}^{n} E_{k,k},\qquad \widetilde{t}_1\coloneqq \xi E_{1,2}+\xi^{-1}E_{2,1}+\sum_{k=3}^{n}E_{k,k},$$
$$\forall a\in\{1,\dots,n-1\}, t_a\coloneqq E_{a,a+1}+E_{a+1,a}+\sum_{\substack{1\le k\le n\\ k\neq a,a+1}} E_{k,k},$$

where $E_{k,\ell}$ is the elementary $n\times n$ matrix with 1 in the (k,ℓ)-coefficient and 0 everywhere else.

Inspired by some works in the context of finite Chevalley groups, an algebra was associated to each real reflection group W: the *Iwahori–Hecke algebra* $\mathcal{H}(W)$. This deformation of the group algebra of W was the starting point of many connections with other objects and theories, for instance, the theory of quantum groups or knot theory. With a view to generalise this construction, on the one hand Broué, Malle and Rouquier [BMR] defined such a deformation for every complex reflection group, also known as Hecke algebra. On the other hand, Ariki and Koike [ArKo] defined such a Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$ for $G(r,1,n)$ where $\boldsymbol{u}=(u_1,\dots,u_r)$ is a tuple of parameters, followed by Ariki [Ar95] who did the same thing for $G(r,p,n)$. In particular, for a suitable choice of parameters q and $\boldsymbol{u}$, this Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_{p,n}(q)$ of $G(r,p,n)$ can be seen as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$.

In the semisimple case, Ariki and Koike have determined all irreducible modules for $\mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$; the modular case was treated by Ariki and Mathas [ArMa, Ar01] (and also by Graham and Lehrer, using the theory of cellular algebras). Moreover, in [Ar96], Ariki proved a conjecture of Lascoux, Leclerc and Thibon [LLT]: over a field of characteristic 0 and if all u_k for $1\le k\le r$ are powers of q, the theorem makes a connection between the decomposition matrix of $\mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$ and the canonical basis of a certain integrable highest

weight $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$-module $L(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})$, where $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ is the Kac–Moody algebra of type $A^{(1)}_{e-1}$. Hence, together with the work of Uglov [Ug] which computes this canonical basis, we are able to explicitly describe the decomposition matrix of $\mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$.

Once again in the semisimple case, Ariki [Ar95], using Clifford theory, has determined all irreducible modules for $\mathcal{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_{p,n}(q)$. In the modular case, Genet and Jacon [GeJa] and Chlouveraki and Jacon [ChJa] gave a parametrisation of the simple modules $\mathcal{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_{p,n}(q)$ over $\mathbb{C}$; furthermore, Hu and Mathas [HuMa12] gave a procedure to compute the decomposition matrix of $\mathcal{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_{p,n}(q)$ in characteristic 0, under some separation condition (where the Hecke algebra is not semisimple in general). Let us also mention the work of Geck [Ge], who deals with the case of type D.

In the meanwhile, partially motivated by Ariki's theorem, Khovanov and Lauda [KhLau1, KhLau2] and Rouquier [Rou] independently introduced the algebra $\mathcal{R}_n(\Gamma)$, known as *quiver Hecke algebra* or *KLR algebra*. This led to a categorification result:

$$U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}_\Gamma) \simeq \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} [\mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}_n(\Gamma))]\,,$$

where $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}_\Gamma)$ is the negative part of the quantum group of $\mathfrak{g}_\Gamma$, the Kac–Moody algebra associated with the quiver Γ, and $\mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}_n(\Gamma))$ denotes the Grothendieck group of the additive category of finitely generated graded projective $\mathcal{R}_n(\Gamma)$-modules. Moreover, considering some cyclotomic quotients $\mathcal{R}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_n(\Gamma)$ of the quiver Hecke algebra, Kang and Kashiwara [KanKa] also proved a categorification result for highest weight $U(\mathfrak{g}_\Gamma)$-modules.

With Γ a quiver of type $A^{(1)}_{e-1}$ and a suitable choice of $\boldsymbol{u}$, we thus obtain a connection between the Ariki–Koike algebra $\mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_n(\Gamma)$. It turns out that Brundan and Kleshchev [BrKl] (and independently Rouquier [Rou]) gave an explicit isomorphism between these two algebras. In particular, the Ariki–Koike algebra inherits the natural $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $\mathcal{R}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_n(\Gamma)$. Further, Hu and Mathas [HuMa10] constructed a homogeneous (cellular) basis of the Ariki–Koike algebra.

We aim to generalise the previous results concerning $G(r,1,n)$ to the remaining elements of the infinite series $\{G(r,p,n)\}$ (and thus for all but finitely many complex reflection groups). In this paper, we restrict the isomorphism of [BrKl] to the subalgebra $\mathcal{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_{p,n}(q)$ of $\mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$ (for a suitable choice of $\boldsymbol{u}$), and then study its image in the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra $\mathcal{R}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_n(\Gamma)$. Our two main results are the followings.

- We obtain a cyclotomic quiver Hecke-like presentation for $\mathcal{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_{p,n}(q)$ (see Corollary 4.9).
- We find an isomorphism which makes explicit the Morita equivalence of Ariki–Koike algebras of [DiMa] (see §3.4).

We will first need to generalise the main result of [BrKl]; surprisingly, combined with a theorem of [Ro], this leads to the isomorphism realising the above Morita equivalence. We then exploit the fact that $\mathcal{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_{p,n}(q)$ is the fixed subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$ for a particular automorphism σ, the *shift-automorphism*. After some technical work, we obtain the cyclotomic quiver Hecke-like presentation for $\mathcal{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_{p,n}(q)$. We deduce that $\mathcal{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_{p,n}(q)$ depends only on the quantum characteristic and is a graded subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$. We notice that Boys and Mathas [Bo, BoMa] already studied a restriction of the isomorphism of [BrKl] to the fixed subalgebra of an automorphism, in order to study *alternating* (cyclotomic) Hecke algebras. The approach is globally similar, however, we are here able to use a trick of Stroppel and Webster [StWe] to simplify the final proof (see §4.1).

We now give a brief overview of this article. Let $r,p,d,n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be some integers with $r = dp$, an element $q \neq 0,1$ of a field F, a primitive pth root of unity $\zeta \in F^\times$ and $J := \langle \zeta \rangle$. We set $e \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \cup \{\infty\}$ the order of q in $F^\times$ and we define $I := \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$ (with $I := \mathbb{Z}$ if $e = \infty$). Finally, we consider a tuple $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = (\Lambda_{i,j})$ where (i,j) describes $I \times J$. We begin Section 1 by defining in §1.1 the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$ of type $G(r,1,n)$ (the Ariki–Koike algebra), which we write $\mathcal{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_n(q,\zeta)$ when each u_k is of the form $\zeta^j q^i$. The algebra $\mathcal{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_n(q,\zeta)$ is generated by some elements $S, T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$, subject to various relations (1.2)–(1.6) and the following one

(see (1.9)):

$$\prod_{i\in I}\prod_{j\in J}(S-\zeta^j q^i)^{\Lambda_{i,j}}=0.$$

We define in Proposition 1.4 an important object of this paper, the *shift-automorphism* σ of $\mathcal{H}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta)$: it maps S to ζS and is identity on the remaining generators $T_1,\dots,T_{n-1}$. We then start §1.2 by defining the cyclotomic Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q)$ of type $G(r,p,n)$. Our definition differs from Ariki's one [Ar95]: however, in Appendix A, after we gave a short proof that $\mathcal{H}_{1,n}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q)$ is the usual Ariki–Koike algebra, we prove that if $p\geq 2$ then our definition is equivalent to Ariki's one. In particular, it shows that Ariki indeed defined a Hecke algebra of $G(r,p,n)$ as defined in [BMR] (this fact is mentionned in [BMR] but we did not find any proof in the literature). We then prove in Corollary 1.12 that $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q)$ is the fixed-point algebra of $\mathcal{H}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta)$ under the shift-automorphism. We introduce in §1.3 a divisor p' of p, together with the set $J'\coloneqq\{1,\dots,p'\}$, such that the map $I\times J'\ni(i,j)\mapsto\zeta^j q^i$ is one-to-one and has the same image than $I\times J\ni(i,j)\mapsto\zeta^j q^i$. We then define a finitely-supported tuple $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$, indexed by $I\times J'$, associated with the tuple $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\in\mathbb{N}^{(I\times J)}$ (see Proposition 1.17); we also introduce the notation $\mathcal{H}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q)$. The reader should not be afraid of confusing between the two notations $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$: we will not use any more $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ after Section 1. Let now Γ be a loop-free quiver without any multiple edge. Let K be the vertex set of Γ and let $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ be a tuple of non-negative integers indexed by K. We define in Section 2 the quiver Hecke algebra $\mathcal{R}_n(\Gamma)$ and its cyclotomic quotient $\mathcal{R}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$. In §2.3, given a permutation of the vertices of Γ, we associate in Theorem 2.10 an automorphism of $\mathcal{R}_n(\Gamma)$ and we easily give in §2.3.1 a presentation of the fixed subalgebra (Corollary 2.17). On the contrary, we need a little bit more work in §2.3.2 to do the same thing for the cyclotomic quotient $\mathcal{R}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$; we give a presentation for the fixed subalgebra in Theorem 2.26. We generalise in Section 3 the main result of [BrKl]; the calculations are entirely similar, hence we do not write them down. More precisely, we prove the following F-isomorphism (Theorem 3.1):

$$\mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})\simeq\mathcal{R}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma).$$

The quiver Γ is given by p' copies of the cyclic quiver Γ_e with e vertices (which is a two-sided infinite line if $e=\infty$), where $\boldsymbol{u}$ is such that the set $\{u_1,\dots,u_r\}$ is a reunion of p' orbits for the action of $\langle q\rangle$ on $F^\times$. In particular, in the setting of [BrKl] we have $p'=1$. Moreover, we deduce that this isomorphism realises the well-known Morita equivalence of [DiMa] involving Ariki–Koike algebras, see §3.4. In Section 4, we show that the sign-automorphism of $\mathcal{H}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta)$ may yield a nice automorphism of $\mathcal{R}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$. This automorphism is built from the automorphism of Γ which maps a vertex $v=\zeta^j q^i$ for $(i,j)\in K\coloneqq I\times J'$ to $\sigma(v)\coloneqq\zeta v$; this explains why we chose the term "shift-automorphism". We finally deduce with Corollary 4.9 a cyclotomic quiver Hecke-like presentation for $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q)$. In particular, this implies that $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q)$ is a graded subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta)$ (Corollary 4.11) and that $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q)$ does not depend on q but on the quantum characteristic e (Corollary 4.12).

Acknowledgements I am grateful to Maria Chlouveraki and Nicolas Jacon for their numerous corrections. I also thanks Andrew Mathas for his comments on a preliminary version of this paper, and Ivan Marin for some discussions concerning the presentation of $G(r,p,n)$.

Notation Let $d,p,n\in\mathbb{N}^*$ with $n\geq 2$ and set $r\coloneqq pd$. We work over a field F which contains a primitive pth root of unity ζ; in particular, the characteristic of F does not divide p. We consider an element $q\in F\setminus\{0,1\}$ and we write $e\in\mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}\cup\{\infty\}$ its order in $F^\times$. We define:

$$I\coloneqq\begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } e<\infty,\\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{otherwise } (e=\infty),\end{cases}$$

and $J\coloneqq\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\simeq\langle\zeta\rangle$.

1 The Hecke algebra of $G(r,p,n)$

Here we review two constructions of Ariki and Koike; let $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_r)$ be a tuple of elements of $F^\times$.

1.1 The Hecke algebra of $G(r,1,n)$

We recall here the definition of the cyclotomic Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$ of type $G(r,1,n)$, also known as Ariki–Koike algebra.

Definition 1.1 ([ArKo])**.** The algebra $\mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$ is the unitary associative F-algebra generated by the elements $S, T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$, subject to the following relations:

$$\prod_{k=1}^{r}(S-u_k) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$(T_a+1)(T_a-q) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

$$ST_1ST_1 = T_1ST_1S, \tag{1.3}$$

$$ST_a = T_aS \quad \text{if } a>1, \tag{1.4}$$

$$T_aT_b = T_bT_a \quad \text{if } |a-b|>1, \tag{1.5}$$

$$T_aT_{a+1}T_a = T_{a+1}T_aT_{a+1}. \tag{1.6}$$

According to [BMR], the algebra $\mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$ is a Hecke algebra of the complex reflection group $G(r,1,n)$. Let $X_1 \coloneqq S$ and define for $a \in \{1,\dots,n-1\}$ the elements $X_{a+1} \in \mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$ by:

$$qX_{a+1} \coloneqq T_aX_aT_a. \tag{1.7}$$

These elements $X_1, \dots, X_n$ pairwise commute ([ArKo, Lemma 3.3.(2)]). Moreover, Matsumoto's theorem (see, for instance, [GePf, Theorem 1.2.2]) ensures that (1.5)–(1.6) allow us to define $T_w \coloneqq T_{a_1}\cdots T_{a_m}$ for any reduced expression $w = s_{a_1}\cdots s_{a_m} \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, where $s_a \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is the transposition $(a, a+1)$.

Theorem 1.2 ([ArKo, Theorem 3.10])**.** *The elements*

$$X_1^{m_1}\cdots X_n^{m_n}T_w \tag{1.8}$$

for $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \{0,\dots,r-1\}$ and $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ form a basis of the F-vector space $\mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$.

Let $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = (\Lambda_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{N}^{(I\times J)}$ be a finitely-supported tuple of non-negative integers with $\sum_{i\in I}\sum_{j\in J}\Lambda_{i,j} = r$; we say that $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ is a *weight of level r*. In particular, we can choose the parameters $u_1, \dots, u_r$ such that the relation (1.1) in $\mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$ becomes the following one:

$$\prod_{i\in I}\prod_{j\in J}(S-\zeta^j q^i)^{\Lambda_{i,j}} = 0. \tag{1.9}$$

Definition 1.3. With the above setting, we define $\mathcal{H}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta) \coloneqq \mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$.

We will often need the following condition of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$:

$$\forall i \in I, \forall j,j' \in J, \Lambda_{i,j} = \Lambda_{i,j'} =: \Lambda_i. \tag{1.10}$$

In that case, the weight $(\Lambda_i)_{i\in I}$ has level $d = \frac{r}{p}$; moreover, we can write (1.9) as:

$$\prod_{i\in I}\prod_{j\in J}(S-\zeta^j q^i)^{\Lambda_i} = \prod_{i\in I}(S^p - q^{pi})^{\Lambda_i} = 0.$$

Thus, we get the following result.

Proposition 1.4. *We suppose that* $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ *verifies* (1.10). *There is a well-defined algebra homomorphism* $\sigma : \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta) \to \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta)$ *given by:*

$$\sigma(S) \coloneqq \zeta S,$$
$$\forall a \in \{1,\dots,n-1\}, \sigma(T_a) \coloneqq T_a.$$

Remark 1.5. The homomorphism σ has order p, in particular σ is bijective. We will refer to σ as the *shift-automorphism* of $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta)$.

In the remaining part of this subsection, we assume that (1.10) is satisfied, so that the shift-automorphism is defined. The following lemma is an easy induction.

Lemma 1.6. *For every* $a \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ *we have* $\sigma(X_a) = \zeta X_a$.

Proposition 1.7. *The elements of* $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta)$ *fixed by* σ *are exactly the elements in the* F*-span of* $X_1^{m_1}\cdots X_n^{m_n}T_w$ *for* $m_1,\dots,m_n \in \{0,\dots,r-1\}$ *and* $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, *with the additional following condition:*

$$m_1 + \cdots + m_n = 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Let h be an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta)$. By Theorem 1.2, we can write, with $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_a)_a$:

$$h = \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n, w\in\mathfrak{S}_n \\ 0\le m_a<r}} h_{\boldsymbol{m},w} X_1^{m_1}\cdots X_n^{m_n}T_w,$$

for some $h_{\boldsymbol{m},w} \in F$. Applying Lemma 1.6, we have:

$$\sigma(h) = \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{m}\in\mathbb{N}^n, w\in\mathfrak{S}_n \\ 0\le m_a<r}} h_{\boldsymbol{m},w}\zeta^{m_1+\cdots+m_n} X_1^{m_1}\cdots X_n^{m_n}T_w,$$

thus $\sigma(h) = h$ if and only if $\zeta^{m_1+\cdots+m_n} = 1$ when $h_{\boldsymbol{m},w} \neq 0$. We conclude since ζ is a primitive pth root of unity. □

Note that the family in Proposition 1.7 is a basis, since it is free (by Theorem 1.2).

1.2 The Hecke algebra of $G(r,p,n)$

We continue to suppose here that the weight $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ verifies the condition (1.10): in particular, for any $i \in I$ and $j \in J$ we have $\Lambda_{i,j} = \Lambda_i$. We will first define the algebra that Ariki [Ar95] associated with $G(r,p,n)$, and then relate this algebra with §1.1.

Definition 1.8 ([Ar95])**.** We denote by $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q)$ the unitary associative F-algebra generated by $s, t_1', t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}$, subject to the following relations:

$$\prod_{i\in I}(s-q^{pi})^{\Lambda_i} = 0, \tag{1.11}$$
$$(t_1'+1)(t_1'-q) = (t_a+1)(t_a-q) = 0, \tag{1.12}$$
$$t_1't_2t_1' = t_2t_1't_2, \quad t_at_{a+1}t_a = t_{a+1}t_at_{a+1}, \tag{1.13}$$
$$(t_1't_1t_2)^2 = (t_2t_1't_1)^2, \tag{1.14}$$
$$t_1't_a = t_at_1' \quad \text{if } a \in \{3,\dots,n-1\}, \tag{1.15}$$
$$t_at_b = t_bt_a \quad \text{if } |a-b| > 1, \tag{1.16}$$
$$st_a = t_as \quad \text{if } a \in \{2,\dots,n-1\}, \tag{1.17}$$
$$st_1't_1 = t_1't_1s, \tag{1.18}$$
$$\underbrace{st_1't_1t_1't_1\dots}_{p+1 \text{ factors}} = \underbrace{t_1st_1't_1t_1'\dots}_{p+1 \text{ factors}}. \tag{1.19}$$

According to [BMR], the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q)$ is a Hecke algebra of the complex reflection group $G(r,p,n)$.

Remark 1.9. We prove in §A.1 that the above presentation considered for $p = 1$ yields the Ariki–Koike algebra $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, 1)$ previously defined.

Remark 1.10. We suppose here that $p \geq 2$. The reader would have noticed that the above presentation is not the one given by Ariki [Ar95]. Indeed, instead of (1.19) he gives the following relation:

$$st_1't_1 = (q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{2-p}t_1st_1' + (q-1)\sum_{k=1}^{p-2}(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{1-k}st_1'. \tag{1.19'}$$

We claim that these two presentations are in fact the same one. Using (1.12), we can show by induction the following equality:

$$(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{2-p}t_1st_1' + (q-1)\sum_{k=1}^{p-2}(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{1-k}st_1' = (\underbrace{t_1^{-1}t_1'^{-1}t_1^{-1}t_1'^{-1}\dots}_{p-2 \text{ factors}})(\underbrace{\dots t_1t_1't_1t_1'}_{p-2 \text{ factors}})t_1st_1'.$$

Hence, using (1.18) we get that (1.19) and (1.19') are equivalent, which proves the claim (we refer to §A.2 for more details). We conclude this remark by mentioning that the generators of [Ar95] are given by $a_0 = s, a_1 = t_1'$ and $a_k = t_{k-1}$ for $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$.

We state the main result of this section (note that the case $p = 1$ is proved by Theorems 1.2 and A.1).

Theorem 1.11 ([Ar95, Proposition 1.6])**.** *The algebra homomorphism* $\phi : \mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q) \to \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, \zeta)$ *given by:*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(s) &\coloneqq S^p, \\ \phi(t_1') &\coloneqq S^{-1}T_1S, \\ \forall a \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \phi(t_a) &\coloneqq T_a, \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined and one-to-one. Moreover, the elements $X_1^{m_1} \cdots X_n^{m_n}T_w$ *of Theorem 1.2 such that* $m_1 + \cdots + m_n = 0 \pmod p$ *form an* F*-basis of* $\phi(\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q))$.

In particular, using Proposition 1.7 we get the following one.

Corollary 1.12. *The algebra* $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q)$ *is isomorphic via* ϕ *to* $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, \zeta)^{\sigma}$*, the fixed-point algebra of* $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, \zeta)$ *under the shift-automorphism* σ.

1.3 Removing repetitions

The following map:

$$\left|\begin{array}{ccc} I \times J & \longrightarrow & F^{\times} \\ (i, j) & \longmapsto & \zeta^j q^i \end{array}\right. ,$$

is not one-to-one. The first aim of this subsection is to find a subset $J' \subseteq J$ such that the restriction of the previous map to $I \times J'$ has the same image and is one-to-one. Moreover, for our purposes, we would like relation (1.9) to be of the form:

$$\prod_{i \in I}\prod_{j \in J'}(S - \zeta^j q^i)^{\Lambda_{i,j}} = 0, \tag{1.20}$$

where $\mathbf{\Lambda} = (\Lambda_{i,j})_{i \in I, j \in J'} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I \times J')}$ is a weight of level r. The second aim of this subsection is to know for which tuples $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I \times J')}$ of level r there is some $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I \times J)}$ such that the relation (1.9) in $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, \zeta)$ is exactly (1.20); we will be particularly interested in the case where $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ verifies (1.10). This will require some quite long but easy computations.

Let us define the following integer:

$$p' \coloneqq \min\{m \in \mathbb{N}^* : \zeta^m \in \langle q \rangle\} \in \{1, \dots, p\}, \tag{1.21}$$

together with the following set:

$$J' \coloneqq \{1, \dots, p'\}.$$

Lemma 1.13. *We have $p' \mid p$. More precisely, with $\omega \coloneqq \frac{p}{p'}$ we have:*

- *if $e = \infty$ then $\omega = 1$, that is, $p' = p$;*
- *if $e < \infty$ then $\omega = \gcd(p, e)$, that is, $p' = \frac{p}{\gcd(p,e)}$.*

In particular, the integer p' does only depend on p and e.

Proof. The statement for $e = \infty$ is obvious since each element of $\langle q \rangle \setminus \{1\}$ has infinite order; we thus now assume that $e < \infty$. Writing $p = ap' + s$ with $0 \leq s < p'$, we have $1 = (\zeta^{p'})^a \zeta^s$, thus $\zeta^s \in \langle q \rangle$. By minimality of p', we deduce that $s = 0$ hence $p' \mid p$.

We now set $\delta \coloneqq \gcd(p, e)$. Since $\gcd(X^e - 1, X^p - 1) = X^\delta - 1$, the subgroup $\langle q \rangle \cap \langle \zeta \rangle$ has order δ. Since this subgroup is a finite subgroup of $F^\times$, it is cyclic: let $g \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\langle \zeta^g \rangle = \langle q \rangle \cap \langle \zeta \rangle$ (such a g does exist since ζ is primitive). Writing $g = bp' + t$ with $0 \leq t < p'$, we get $\zeta^t \in \langle q \rangle$, hence by minimality of p' we deduce that $t = 0$. In particular, we have $\zeta^g = (\zeta^{p'})^b$ thus $\langle \zeta^g \rangle \subseteq \langle \zeta^{p'} \rangle$. Finally, since:

$$\langle \zeta^{p'} \rangle \subseteq \langle q \rangle \cap \langle \zeta \rangle = \langle \zeta^g \rangle,$$

we deduce that $\langle \zeta^{p'} \rangle = \langle \zeta^g \rangle$ has cardinality δ. Since $p' \mid p$, the element $\zeta^{p'}$ has order $\frac{p}{p'}$: we deduce that $\delta = \frac{p}{p'}$, that is, $\gcd(p, e) = \omega$, which is the announced result. □

The first aim of this subsection is achieved thanks to the next lemma.

Lemma 1.14. *The elements $\zeta^j q^i$ for $i \in I$ and $j \in J'$ are pairwise distinct.*

Proof. Let $i, i' \in I$ and $j, j' \in J'$ such that $\zeta^j q^i = \zeta^{j'} q^{i'}$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $j \geq j'$; we have $\zeta^{j-j'} = q^{i'-i} \in \langle q \rangle$. Since $0 \leq j - j' \leq j - 1 < p'$, by minimality of p' we deduce that $j - j' = 0$. Hence, we get $i' - i = 0$ thus $(i, j) = (i', j')$. □

Let us denote by η the (unique) element of I such that:

$$\zeta^{p'} = q^\eta. \tag{1.22}$$

Note that $p' = p \iff \eta = 0 \iff \langle q \rangle \cap \langle \zeta \rangle = \{1\}$. In particular, if $\eta \neq 0$ then $e < \infty$: in that case, we thus are not necessarily in the setting of [HuMa12] (see [Lemma 2.6.(a), *loc. cit.*]). We now consider the following map:

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccc} J' \times \mathbb{Z}/\omega\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & J \\ (j, a) & \longmapsto & j + p'a \end{array} \right. , \tag{1.23}$$

where we recall that $\omega = \frac{p}{p'}$. It is well-defined and surjective, hence bijective by a counting argument. Equation (1.9) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{j \in J} (S - \zeta^j q^i)^{\Lambda_{i,j}} &= \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{j \in J'} \prod_{a \in \mathbb{Z}/\omega\mathbb{Z}} \left(S - \zeta^j (\zeta^{p'})^a q^i\right)^{\Lambda_{i,j+p'a}} \\ &= \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{j \in J'} \prod_{a \in \mathbb{Z}/\omega\mathbb{Z}} \left(S - \zeta^j q^{i+\eta a}\right)^{\Lambda_{i,j+p'a}}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.24}$$

For each $(i, j) \in I \times J'$, we define:

$$\Lambda_{i,j} \coloneqq \sum_{i' \in I} \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}/\omega\mathbb{Z} \\ i' + \eta a = i}} \Lambda_{i', j+p'a}, \tag{1.25}$$

so that, by (1.24):

$$\prod_{i \in I} \prod_{j \in J} (S - \zeta^j q^i)^{\Lambda_{i,j}} = \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{j \in J'} (S - \zeta^j q^i)^{\Lambda_{i,j}}.$$

Hence, relation (1.9) transforms to the desired one (1.20). Conversely, it is clear that each weight $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I \times J')}$ comes from some $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I \times J)}$ through (1.25), that is, for each $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I \times J')}$

there is some $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I\times J)}$ such that (1.25) is satisfied. Indeed, given any $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I\times J')}$ it suffices to set:

$$\forall (i,j) \in I \times J, \Lambda_{i,j} := \begin{cases} \Lambda_{i,\jmath} & \text{if } j \text{ is the image of } (\jmath, 0) \text{ by the bijection of (1.23)}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.15. Let $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I\times J')}$ be a weight of level r; we consider $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I\times J)}$ a weight of level r which gives $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ through (1.25). We write $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta) := \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta)$; in particular, the relation (1.9) becomes (1.20).

We now suppose that the weight $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I\times J)}$ verifies the condition (1.10), that is, factors to $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I)}$: we want to know which condition we recover on $\mathbf{\Lambda}$. Defining equality (1.25) becomes:

$$\forall i \in I, \forall j \in J', \Lambda_{i,j} = \sum_{i' \in I} \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}/\omega\mathbb{Z} \\ i'+\eta a = i}} \Lambda_{i'}.$$

In particular, for any $i \in I$ and $j, j' \in J'$ we have $\Lambda_{i,j} = \Lambda_{i,j'} =: \Lambda_i$, so that $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I)}$ is a weight of level ωd, and:

$$\forall i \in I, \Lambda_i = \sum_{i' \in I} \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}/\omega\mathbb{Z} \\ i'+\eta a = i}} \Lambda_{i'}. \tag{1.26}$$

Lemma 1.16. *For any $i \in I$ we have:*

$$\#\{a \in \mathbb{Z}/\omega\mathbb{Z} : \eta a = i\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \notin \eta I, \quad (1.27) \\ 1 & \text{if } i \in \eta I. \quad (1.28) \end{cases}$$

Proof. The result is straightforward if $\eta = 0$, in particular in that case we have $\omega = 1$. Thus we assume $\eta \neq 0$, in particular $e < \infty$ and $I = \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$. Let us compute the cardinality of the fiber of i under the following group homomorphism:

$$\phi : \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & I \\ a & \longmapsto & \eta a. \end{array} \right.$$

First, the image of ϕ is ηI: this proves (1.27). The element ω lies in $\ker \phi$. Indeed, we have $\text{order}(\zeta^{p'}) = \text{order}(q^\eta)$, hence:

$$\omega = \frac{e}{\gcd(e,\eta)}, \tag{1.29}$$

thus $e = \gcd(e,\eta)\omega \mid \eta\omega$. As a consequence, we have a well-defined *surjective* map:

$$\overline{\phi} : \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}/\omega\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \eta I \\ a & \longmapsto & \eta a \end{array} \right. .$$

We have, using (1.29):

$$\eta I = (\eta\mathbb{Z} + e\mathbb{Z})/e\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\tfrac{e}{\gcd(e,\eta)}\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}/\omega\mathbb{Z},$$

thus, by a counting argument we get that the map $\overline{\phi}$ is bijective. This concludes the proof. □

The second aim of this subsection is achieved thanks to the following proposition.

Proposition 1.17. *A weight $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I)}$ of level ωd comes from a weight $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I)}$ of level d through (1.26) if and only if:*

$$\forall i \in I, \Lambda_i = \Lambda_{i+\eta},$$

that is, if and only if the weight $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ factors to a weight $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I/\eta I)}$ of level d.

Proof. First, by applying Lemma 1.16 to (1.26), we obtain the equivalent equality:

$$\forall i \in I, \Lambda_i = \sum_{\substack{i' \in I \\ i' - i \in \eta I}} \Lambda_{i'} = \sum_{i' \in i + \eta I} \Lambda_{i'}. \tag{1.30}$$

The necessary condition is hence straightforward. We now suppose that $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I)}$ factors to a weight $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I/\eta I)}$ of level d. For any $\gamma \in I/\eta I$, we choose any ω non-negative integers Λ_i for $i \in \gamma$ such that $\sum_{i \in \gamma} \Lambda_i = \Lambda_\gamma$. We conclude that (1.30) and thus (1.25) hold since $\Lambda_i = \Lambda_\gamma$ if $i \in \gamma$. □

Definition 1.18. We write $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, \zeta) \coloneqq \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, \zeta)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q) \coloneqq \mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q)$ if $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I)}$ of level ωd and $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(I)}$ of level d are as in Proposition 1.17. In particular, the cyclotomic relation (1.9) in $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, \zeta)$ is:

$$\prod_{i \in I} \prod_{j \in J'} (S - \zeta^j q^i)^{\Lambda_i} = 0.$$

2 Cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras

Let K be a set and Γ be a loop-free quiver without multiple edge, with vertex set K. For $k, k' \in K$:

- we write $k \not\leftrightarrow k'$ if neither (k, k') nor (k', k) is an edge of Γ;
- we write $k \to k'$ if (k, k') is an edge of Γ and (k', k) is not;
- we write $k \leftarrow k'$ if $k' \to k$;
- we write $k \leftrightarrows k'$ if both (k, k') and (k', k) are edges of Γ.

We will hereafter define the (cyclotomic) quiver Hecke algebra associated with Γ. Further, given an automorphism of this algebra built from an automorphism of the quiver, we will give a presentation of the fixed subalgebra. Note that what follows has roughly the same outline as [Bo, BoMa].

2.1 Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_k)_{k \in K}$ be a finitely-supported tuple of non-negative integers, whose sum is equal to n; we say that α is a *K-composition of n* and we write $\alpha \models_K n$. Let K^α be the subset of K^n consisting of the elements of K^n which have, for any $k \in K$, exactly α_k components equal to k. The sets K^α are the $\mathfrak{S}_n$-orbits of K^n, in particular they are finite.

Following [KhLau1, KhLau2] and [Rou], we define $\mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Gamma)$ the *quiver Hecke algebra at α associated with* Γ as the unitary associative F-algebra with generating set

$$\{e(\boldsymbol{k})\}_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha} \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \cup \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1}\}$$

together with the relations:

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha} e(\boldsymbol{k}) = 1, \tag{2.1}$$

$$e(\boldsymbol{k}) e(\boldsymbol{k}') = \delta_{\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}'} e(\boldsymbol{k}), \tag{2.2}$$

$$y_a e(\boldsymbol{k}) = e(\boldsymbol{k}) y_a, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\psi_a e(\boldsymbol{k}) = e(s_a \cdot \boldsymbol{k}) \psi_a, \tag{2.4}$$

$$y_a y_b = y_b y_a, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\psi_a y_b = y_b \psi_a \quad \text{if } b \neq a, a+1, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\psi_a \psi_b = \psi_b \psi_a \quad \text{if } |a - b| > 1, \tag{2.7}$$

and the following ones:

$$\psi_a y_{a+1} e(\boldsymbol{k}) = \begin{cases} (y_a\psi_a + 1)e(\boldsymbol{k}) & \text{if } k_a = k_{a+1}, \\ y_a\psi_a e(\boldsymbol{k}) & \text{if } k_a \neq k_{a+1}, \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

$$y_{a+1}\psi_a e(\boldsymbol{k}) = \begin{cases} (\psi_a y_a + 1)e(\boldsymbol{k}) & \text{if } k_a = k_{a+1}, \\ \psi_a y_a e(\boldsymbol{k}) & \text{if } k_a \neq k_{a+1}, \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

$$\psi_a^2 e(\boldsymbol{k}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k_a = k_{a+1}, \\ e(\boldsymbol{k}) & \text{if } k_a \not\to k_{a+1}, \\ (y_{a+1} - y_a)e(\boldsymbol{k}) & \text{if } k_a \to k_{a+1}, \\ (y_a - y_{a+1})e(\boldsymbol{k}) & \text{if } k_a \leftarrow k_{a+1}, \\ (y_{a+1} - y_a)(y_a - y_{a+1})e(\boldsymbol{k}) & \text{if } k_a \leftrightarrows k_{a+1}, \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

$$\psi_{a+1}\psi_a\psi_{a+1} e(\boldsymbol{k}) = \begin{cases} (\psi_a\psi_{a+1}\psi_a - 1)e(\boldsymbol{k}) & \text{if } k_{a+2} = k_a \to k_{a+1}, \\ (\psi_a\psi_{a+1}\psi_a + 1)e(\boldsymbol{k}) & \text{if } k_{a+2} = k_a \leftarrow k_{a+1}, \\ (\psi_a\psi_{a+1}\psi_a + 2y_{a+1} - y_a - y_{a+2})e(\boldsymbol{k}) & \text{if } k_{a+2} = k_a \leftrightarrows k_{a+1}, \\ \psi_a\psi_{a+1}\psi_a e(\boldsymbol{k}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

The following proposition is easy to check.

Proposition 2.1. *There is a unique $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on $\mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Gamma)$ such that:*

- *for all $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha$, $\deg e(\boldsymbol{k}) := 0$;*
- *for all $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha$ and $a \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\deg y_a e(\boldsymbol{k}) := 2$;*
- *for all $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha$ and $a \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$:*

$$\deg \psi_a e(\boldsymbol{k}) := \begin{cases} -2 & \text{if } k_a = k_{a+1}, \\ 0 & \text{if } k_a \not\to k_{a+1}, \\ 1 & \text{if } k_a \to k_{a+1} \text{ or } k_a \leftarrow k_{a+1}, \\ 2 & \text{if } k_a \leftrightarrows k_{a+1}. \end{cases}$$

If K is finite, we can define the quiver Hecke algebra $\mathcal{R}_n(\Gamma)$ as above, with generators

$$\{e(\boldsymbol{k})\}_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^n} \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \cup \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1}\}$$

and the relations (2.2)–(2.11), where the sum over K^α in (2.1) is now over K^n. In that case, defining for $\alpha \models_K n$:

$$e(\alpha) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha} e(\boldsymbol{k}) \in \mathcal{R}_n(\Gamma), \tag{2.12}$$

the element $e(\alpha)$ is central and $\mathcal{R}_n(\Gamma)e(\alpha) \simeq \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Gamma)$. We have:

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\Gamma) \simeq \bigoplus_{\alpha \models_K n} \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Gamma),$$

and this isomorphism can be considered as a definition of $\mathcal{R}_n(\Gamma)$ when K is infinite (note that in that case, the algebra $\mathcal{R}_n(\Gamma)$ is not unitary).

Let now $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = (\Lambda_k)_{k \in K} \in \mathbb{N}^{(K)}$ be a finitely-supported tuple of non-negative integers. We define a particular case of cyclotomic quotient of $\mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Gamma)$ (see [KanKa] for the general case).

Definition 2.2. The *cyclotomic* quiver Hecke algebra $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ is the quotient of the quiver Hecke algebra $\mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Gamma)$ by the two-sided ideal $\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}$ generated by the following relations:

$$\forall \boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha, y_1^{\Lambda_{k_1}} e(\boldsymbol{k}) = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

Note that the grading on $\mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Gamma)$ gives a grading on $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$. If K is finite, the cyclotomic quotient $\mathcal{R}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ is obtained by quotienting $\mathcal{R}_n(\Gamma)$ by the relations:

$$\forall \boldsymbol{k} \in K^n, y_1^{\Lambda_{k_1}} e(\boldsymbol{k}) = 0.$$

Moreover, we have $e(\alpha)\mathcal{R}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma) \simeq \mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ and:

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma) \simeq \bigoplus_{\alpha \models_K n} \mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma),$$

and this can be considered as a definition of $\mathcal{R}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ if K is infinite.

2.2 Properties of the underlying vector spaces

For each $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we choose a reduced expression $w = s_{a_1} \cdots s_{a_r}$ and we set:

$$\psi_w \coloneqq \psi_{a_1} \cdots \psi_{a_r} \in \mathcal{R}_n(\Gamma). \tag{2.14}$$

Let $\alpha \models_K n$. We have the following theorem ([Rou, Theorem 3.7], [KhLau1, Theorem 2.5]).

Theorem 2.3. *The family* $\mathcal{B}_\alpha \coloneqq \{\psi_w y_1^{m_1} \cdots y_n^{m_n} e(\boldsymbol{k}) : w \in \mathfrak{S}_n, m_a \in \mathbb{N}, \boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha\}$ *is a basis of the* F*-vector space* $\mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Gamma)$.

Let $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(K)}$ be a weight. It is not obvious that we can deduce a basis of the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra from the basis of Theorem 2.3. With this in mind, let us give the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4 ([BrKl, Lemma 2.1])**.** *The elements* $y_a \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ *are nilpotent for any* $a \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Remark 2.5. The proof in [BrKl] is given for the quiver Γ_e. However, we can see immediately that their proof is valid for any quiver (in particular, for the quivers we use here).

We obtain the following theorem (see also [KanKa, §4.1]).

Theorem 2.6. *The family:*

$$\mathcal{B}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \coloneqq \left\{\psi_w y_1^{m_1} \cdots y_n^{m_n} e(\boldsymbol{k}) : w \in \mathfrak{S}_n, m_a \in \mathbb{N}, \boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha\right\},$$

is finite and spans $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ *over* F*. In particular, the* F*-vector space* $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ *is finite-dimensional.*

2.3 Fixed subalgebra

Let σ be a automorphism of Γ, that is:

- the map $\sigma : K \to K$ is bijection;
- if $(k, k') \in K^2$ is an edge of Γ then $(\sigma(k), \sigma(k')) \in K^2$ is also an edge of Γ.

We assume that for any $p_1 \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ and for any $k \in K$ we have $\sigma^{p_1}(k) \neq k = \sigma^p(k)$; in particular, we have $\sigma^p = \mathrm{id}_K$.

Remark 2.7. Everything in this subsection §2.3 remains true if we only assume that $\sigma^p = \mathrm{id}_K$; we just have to modify the sentence containing (2.19) and equalities (2.20), (2.37). Note that the automorphism involved in the proof of the main theorem (automorphism which is defined in (4.5)) verifies the above stronger condition.

Since $\sigma^p = \mathrm{id}_K$, we have, for $k, k' \in K$:

$$(k, k') \in K^2 \text{ is an edge of } \Gamma \iff (\sigma(k), \sigma(k')) \in K^2 \text{ is an edge of } \Gamma,$$

thus we deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $k, k' \in K$. We have:*

$$k \to k' \iff \sigma(k) \to \sigma(k),$$

and there are similar equivalences for $\leftarrow, \leftrightarrows, =$ and $\neq$.

The map σ naturally induces a map $\sigma : K^n \to K^n$, defined by $\sigma(\boldsymbol{k}) \coloneqq (\sigma(k_1), \dots, \sigma(k_n))$. For $\alpha \models_K n$, the following lemma explains how $\sigma : K^n \to K^n$ restricts to K^α (compare to [Bo, after Lemma 5.3.2]).

Lemma 2.9. *For $\alpha \models_K n$, the map $\sigma : K^n \to K^n$ maps K^α onto $K^{\sigma\cdot\alpha}$, where $\sigma \cdot \alpha$ is the K-composition of n given by:*

$$\forall k \in K, (\sigma \cdot \alpha)_k \coloneqq \alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(k)}.$$

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^n$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\forall k \in K, \boldsymbol{k} \text{ has } \alpha_k \text{ components equal to } k \\
\iff &\forall k \in K, \sigma(\boldsymbol{k}) \text{ has } \alpha_k \text{ components equal to } \sigma(k) \\
\iff &\forall k \in K, \sigma(\boldsymbol{k}) \text{ has } \alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(k)} \text{ components equal to } k \\
\iff &\forall k \in K, \sigma(\boldsymbol{k}) \text{ has } (\sigma \cdot \alpha)_k \text{ components equal to } k.
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha \iff \sigma(\boldsymbol{k}) \in K^{\sigma\cdot\alpha}$. □

We can now explain how σ induces an isomorphism between (cyclotomic) quiver Hecke algebras. We will also give a presentation for the fixed subalgebras.

2.3.1 Affine case

In the affine case, we will be able to give a basis for the subalgebra of the fixed-points of σ. As an easy consequence, we will give a presentation of this subalgebra.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $\alpha \models_K n$. There is a well-defined algebra homomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{R}_{\sigma\cdot\alpha}(\Gamma)$ given by:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall \boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha, \sigma(e(\boldsymbol{k})) &\coloneqq e(\sigma(\boldsymbol{k})), \\
\forall a \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \sigma(y_a) &\coloneqq y_a, \\
\forall a \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \sigma(\psi_a) &\coloneqq \psi_a.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We check the different relations (2.1)–(2.11), thanks to Lemma 2.8 and the following fact:

$$\forall a \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \forall \boldsymbol{k} \in K^n, \sigma(\boldsymbol{k})_a = \sigma(k_a).$$

Note that to prove (2.1) we use the additional fact that $\sigma : K^\alpha \to K^{\sigma\cdot\alpha}$ is a bijection. □

Remark 2.11. By Lemma 2.8, the homomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{R}_{\sigma\cdot\alpha}(\Gamma)$ is graded with respect to the grading given in Proposition 2.1.

As in Section 1, we want to study the fixed points of σ. To that extent, we first need to find an algebra which is stable under σ. Let $[\alpha]$ be the orbit of α under the action of $\langle\sigma\rangle$; note that since $\sigma^p = \mathrm{id}_K$, the cardinality of $[\alpha]$ is at most p. For $\alpha \models_K n$ we define the following finite subset of K^n:

$$K^{[\alpha]} \coloneqq \bigsqcup_{\beta \in [\alpha]} K^\beta, \tag{2.15}$$

and similarly we define the following unitary algebra:

$$\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma) \coloneqq \bigoplus_{\beta \in [\alpha]} \mathcal{R}_\beta(\Gamma). \tag{2.16}$$

We get an *automorphism* $\sigma : \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)$.

Remark 2.12. We have $\mathcal{R}_n(\Gamma) \simeq \oplus_{[\alpha]}\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)$; in particular, for $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^n$ the idempotent $e(\boldsymbol{k})$ of $\mathcal{R}_n(\Gamma)$ belongs to $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^{[\alpha]}$.

We consider the equivalence relation $\sim$ on K generated by:

$$\forall k \in K, k \sim \sigma(k). \tag{2.17}$$

We extend it to $K^{[\alpha]}$ by:

$$\forall \boldsymbol{k} \in K^{[\alpha]}, \boldsymbol{k} \sim \sigma(\boldsymbol{k}). \tag{2.18}$$

Definition 2.13. We write $K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}$ for the quotient set $K^{[\alpha]}/\sim$.

In particular, each element $\gamma \in K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}$ has cardinality p and is of the form:

$$\gamma = \{\boldsymbol{k}, \sigma(\boldsymbol{k}), \dots, \sigma^{p-1}(\boldsymbol{k})\}, \tag{2.19}$$

with $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^{[\alpha]}$.

Definition 2.14. For $\gamma \in K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}$, we define:

$$e(\gamma) \coloneqq \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^{[\alpha]} \\ \boldsymbol{k} \in \gamma}} e(\boldsymbol{k}).$$

These elements $e(\gamma)$ have the property of being fixed by σ. Note that for any $\boldsymbol{k} \in \gamma$, by (2.19) we have:

$$e(\gamma) = \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} e(\sigma^m(\boldsymbol{k})). \tag{2.20}$$

We now give the analogue of Proposition 1.7, by describing all the fixed points of σ.

Theorem 2.15. *The following family:*

$$\mathcal{B}_{[\alpha]}^\sigma \coloneqq \left\{\psi_w y_1^{m_1} \cdots y_n^{m_n} e(\gamma) : w \in \mathfrak{S}_n, m_a \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}\right\},$$

is an F-basis of $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma$, the vector space of σ-fixed points of $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)$.

Proof. First, by Theorem 2.3 we know that $\mathcal{B}_{[\alpha]} \coloneqq \sqcup_{\beta \in [\alpha]}\mathcal{B}_\beta$ is a linear basis of $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)$. Hence, the family $\mathcal{B}_{[\alpha]}^\sigma$ is linearly independent; moreover, each element of $\mathcal{B}_{[\alpha]}^\sigma$ is fixed by σ. Let now $h \in \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)$ be fixed by σ: we want to prove that h lies in $\mathrm{span}_F(\mathcal{B}_{[\alpha]}^\sigma)$. Using Theorem 2.3, we can write, with $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_a)_a$:

$$h = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^{[\alpha]}} h_{w,\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{k}} \psi_w y_1^{m_1} \cdots y_n^{m_n} e(\boldsymbol{k}),$$

with $h_{w,\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{k}} \in F$. We have:

$$h = \sigma(h) = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^{[\alpha]}} h_{w,\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{k}} \psi_w y_1^{m_1} \cdots y_n^{m_n} e(\sigma(\boldsymbol{k})),$$

and thus, since $\mathcal{B}_{[\alpha]}$ is linearly independent:

$$\forall w \in \mathfrak{S}_n, \forall \boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{N}^n, \forall \boldsymbol{k} \in K^{[\alpha]}, h_{w,\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{k}} = h_{w,\boldsymbol{m},\sigma(\boldsymbol{k})}.$$

In particular, for each $\gamma \in K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}$ there is a well-defined scalar $h_{w,\boldsymbol{m},\gamma}$. We get:

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{\gamma \in K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}} h_{w,\boldsymbol{m},\gamma} \psi_w y_1^{m_1} \cdots y_n^{m_n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in \gamma} e(\boldsymbol{k}) \\ &= \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{\gamma \in K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}} h_{w,\boldsymbol{m},\gamma} \psi_w y_1^{m_1} \cdots y_n^{m_n} e(\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

thus h lies in $\mathrm{span}_F(\mathcal{B}_{[\alpha]}^\sigma)$. ∎

Theorem 2.15 allows us to give a presentation of the algebra $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma$. First, let us note that for any $a, b, c \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $c < n$ and $\gamma \in K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}$, the expressions:

$$s_c \cdot \gamma, \quad \gamma_a = \gamma_b, \quad \gamma_a \neq \gamma_b,$$
$$\gamma_a \to \gamma_b, \quad \gamma_a \leftarrow \gamma_b, \quad \gamma_a \leftrightarrows \gamma_b,$$

are well-defined, thanks to Lemma 2.8.

Remark 2.16. On the contrary, if γ' is another element of $K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}$ then the expression $\gamma_a = \gamma'_a$ (for instance) is not well-defined. In particular, recalling (2.17) and (2.18), instead of subsets of $K_\sigma^n = K^n/\!\sim$ we may want to consider subsets of $(K/\!\sim)^n$. This set $(K/\!\sim)^n$ has much worse properties: for instance, if $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^n$ (or $\gamma \in K_\sigma^n$) has for image $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ in $(K/\!\sim)^n$, if $\kappa_a = \kappa_{a+1}$ then we may have $k_a \neq k_{a+1}$ (or $\gamma_a \neq \gamma_{a+1}$).

Corollary 2.17. *The algebra $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma$ has the following presentation. The generating set is:*

$$\{e(\gamma)\}_{\gamma \in K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}} \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \cup \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1}\}, \tag{2.21}$$

and the relations are:

$$\sum_{\gamma \in K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}} e(\gamma) = 1, \tag{2.22}$$
$$e(\gamma)e(\gamma') = \delta_{\gamma,\gamma'} e(\gamma), \tag{2.23}$$
$$y_a e(\gamma) = e(\gamma) y_a, \tag{2.24}$$
$$\psi_a e(\gamma) = e(s_a \cdot \gamma)\psi_a, \tag{2.25}$$
$$y_a y_b = y_b y_a, \tag{2.26}$$
$$\psi_a y_b = y_b \psi_a \quad \text{if } b \neq a, a+1, \tag{2.27}$$
$$\psi_a \psi_b = \psi_b \psi_a \quad \text{if } |a-b| > 1, \tag{2.28}$$
$$\psi_a y_{a+1} e(\gamma) = \begin{cases} (y_a \psi_a + 1)e(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma_a = \gamma_{a+1}, \\ y_a \psi_a e(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma_a \neq \gamma_{a+1}, \end{cases} \tag{2.29}$$
$$y_{a+1} \psi_a e(\gamma) = \begin{cases} (\psi_a y_a + 1)e(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma_a = \gamma_{a+1}, \\ \psi_a y_a e(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma_a \neq \gamma_{a+1}, \end{cases} \tag{2.30}$$
$$\psi_a^2 e(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \gamma_a = \gamma_{a+1}, \\ e(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma_a \not\to \gamma_{a+1}, \\ (y_{a+1} - y_a)e(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma_a \to \gamma_{a+1}, \\ (y_a - y_{a+1})e(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma_a \leftarrow \gamma_{a+1}, \\ (y_{a+1} - y_a)(y_a - y_{a+1})e(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma_a \leftrightarrows \gamma_{a+1}, \end{cases} \tag{2.31}$$
$$\psi_{a+1}\psi_a\psi_{a+1} e(\gamma) = \begin{cases} (\psi_a\psi_{a+1}\psi_a - 1)e(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma_{a+2} = \gamma_a \to \gamma_{a+1}, \\ (\psi_a\psi_{a+1}\psi_a + 1)e(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma_{a+2} = \gamma_a \leftarrow \gamma_{a+1}, \\ (\psi_a\psi_{a+1}\psi_a + 2y_{a+1} - y_a - y_{a+2})e(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma_{a+2} = \gamma_a \leftrightarrows \gamma_{a+1}, \\ \psi_a\psi_{a+1}\psi_a e(\gamma) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.32}$$

Proof. Let us temporary write $e(\gamma)^\sigma, y_a^\sigma$ and ψ_a^σ for the generators of Corollary 2.17, and write $\mathcal{R}^\sigma$ for the algebra which admits this presentation. Given the defining relations (2.1)–(2.11) of $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)$ and Lemma 2.8, there is a well-defined algebra homomorphism $f : \mathcal{R}^\sigma \to \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)$ given by:

$$\forall \gamma \in K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}, f(e(\gamma)^\sigma) \coloneqq e(\gamma),$$
$$\forall a \in \{1, \dots, n\}, f(y_a^\sigma) \coloneqq y_a,$$
$$\forall a \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, f(\psi_a^\sigma) \coloneqq \psi_a.$$

We can notice that the family:

$$\mathcal{B}^\sigma := \left\{\psi_w^\sigma (y_1^\sigma)^{m_1}\cdots(y_n^\sigma)^{m_n} e(\gamma)^\sigma : w \in \mathfrak{S}_n, m_a \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}\right\},$$

spans $\mathcal{R}^\sigma$ over F; the elements ψ_w^σ are defined as in (2.14), with the same reduced expressions. We recall from Theorem 2.15 that the family

$$\mathcal{B}_{[\alpha]}^\sigma = \left\{\psi_w y_1^{m_1}\cdots y_n^{m_n} e(\gamma) : w \in \mathfrak{S}_\mathfrak{n}, m_a \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}\right\}$$

is an F-basis of $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}^\sigma(\Gamma)$. Noticing that the algebra homomorphism f maps $\mathcal{B}^\sigma$ onto $\mathcal{B}_{[\alpha]}^\sigma$, we deduce that:

- the family $\mathcal{B}^\sigma$ is linearly independent;
- the map f is onto $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma$.

Finally, the family $\mathcal{B}^\sigma$ is a basis of $\mathcal{R}^\sigma$; in particular, the homomorphism f sends a basis on a basis hence f is an isomorphism. □

The reader would have noticed the similarity with a quiver Hecke algebra: however, the indexing set for the idempotents is generally not some $\mathfrak{S}_n$-stable subset of $\mathcal{I}^n$ for $\mathcal{I}$ an indexing set.

Remark 2.18. As in Proposition 2.1, there is a unique $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma$ such that $e(\gamma)$ is of degree 0, the element y_a is of degree 2 and $\psi_a e(\gamma)$ is of degree $-c_{\gamma_a,\gamma_{a+1}}$ where:

$$c_{\gamma_a,\gamma_{a+1}} := \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \gamma_a = \gamma_{a+1}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \gamma_a \not\to \gamma_{a+1}, \\ -1 & \text{if } \gamma_a \to \gamma_{a+1} \text{ or } \gamma_{a+1} \to \gamma_a, \\ -2 & \text{if } \gamma_a \leftrightarrows \gamma_{a+1}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the algebra $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma$ is a graded subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)$.

2.3.2 Cyclotomic case

Recall the Definition 2.2 of a cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra. For $\alpha \models_K n$, we want the algebra homomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{R}_{\sigma\cdot\alpha}(\Gamma)$ to factor through cyclotomic quotients. Contrary to the previous affine case, it will be more difficult to get a presentation for the fixed-point subalgebra of the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra (recall that we do not have an analogue of Theorem 2.3). In particular, the whole proof relies on the map μ which will be introduced in (2.36).

Let $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(K)}$ be a weight. As for our K-compositions, we define the weight $\sigma\cdot\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(K)}$ by:

$$\forall k \in K, (\sigma\cdot\mathbf{\Lambda})_k := \Lambda_{\sigma^{-1}(k)}.$$

Lemma 2.19. *We have $\sigma(\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}) = \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\cdot\alpha}^{\sigma\cdot\mathbf{\Lambda}}$. In particular, the algebra homomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{R}_{\sigma\cdot\alpha}(\Gamma)$ induces an algebra homomorphism $\sigma^{\mathbf{\Lambda}} : \mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{R}_{\sigma\cdot\alpha}^{\sigma\cdot\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. We notice that for $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha$ we have:

$$\sigma(y_1^{\Lambda_{k_1}} e(\boldsymbol{k})) = y_1^{\Lambda_{k_1}} e(\sigma(\boldsymbol{k})) \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\cdot\alpha}^{\sigma\cdot\mathbf{\Lambda}},$$

since $\Lambda_{k_1} = (\sigma\cdot\mathbf{\Lambda})_{\sigma(\boldsymbol{k})_1}$. Hence $\sigma(\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\cdot\alpha}^{\sigma\cdot\mathbf{\Lambda}}$ and we have equality by doing the same thing with σ^{-1}. □

Until the end of this section, we make the following σ-stability assumption on our weight $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^{(K)}$:

$$\forall k \in K, \Lambda_k = \Lambda_{\sigma(k)}, \tag{2.33}$$

that is, we assume that $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \sigma\cdot\mathbf{\Lambda}$. Equivalently, it means that the weight $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ factors to an element of $\mathbb{N}^{(K/\sim)}$ (with the notation of (2.17)). The reader would have noticed the

similarity with the equation of Proposition 1.17; in §4.2 we will explicitly make the link between these two assumptions.

Similarly to (2.16), we define:

$$\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma) \coloneqq \bigoplus_{\beta\in[\alpha]} \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{\beta}(\Gamma).$$

This algebra is the quotient of $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)$ by the two sided ideal

$$\mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]} \coloneqq \bigoplus_{\beta\in[\alpha]} \mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{\beta}$$

generated by the elements $y_1^{\Lambda_{k_1}} e(\boldsymbol{k})$ for $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^{[\alpha]}$. We deduce from Lemma 2.19 the following one.

Lemma 2.20. *We have $\sigma(\mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}) = \mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}$. Moreover, $\sigma : \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)$ factors to an algebra homomorphism $\sigma^{\mathbf{\Lambda}} : \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)$.*

If $\pi_{[\alpha]} : \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)$ is the canonical projection, by definition the induced automorphism $\sigma^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$ verifies:

$$\sigma^{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \circ \pi_{[\alpha]} = \pi_{[\alpha]} \circ \sigma. \tag{2.34}$$

We will often write σ as well for the automorphism $\sigma^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$.

Definition 2.21. We define $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$ as the F-algebra of the fixed points of $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)$ under the automorphism $\sigma^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$.

We recall the notation of §2.3.1. Since $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ verifies the σ-stability assumption (2.33) and considering the canonical map $K^n_\sigma = K^n/\!\sim \to (K/\!\sim)^n$, we can also consider the algebra $(\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma})^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$, quotient of $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$ by the two-sided ideal $\mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha],\sigma}$ generated by the following relations:

$$\forall \gamma \in K^{[\alpha]}_{\sigma}, y_1^{\Lambda_{\gamma_1}} e(\gamma) = 0. \tag{2.35}$$

In order to give a presentation of $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$, we want to prove that this algebra is isomorphic to the following one:

$$(\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma})^{\mathbf{\Lambda}} = \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}/\mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha],\sigma},$$

for which we know a presentation. Recalling that the characteristic of F does not divide p, we can define the following linear map:

$$\mu : \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma) \\ h & \longmapsto & \frac{1}{p}\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \sigma^m(h) \end{array} \right. . \tag{2.36}$$

We now give a succession of lemmas involving this map μ.

Lemma 2.22. *The following properties are verified by the linear map μ:*

$$\mu(\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)) = \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma},$$
$$\forall h \in \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}, \mu(h) = h.$$

Moreover, we have:

$$\mu(\mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}) = \mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]} \cap \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}.$$

Proof. The first statement is classical; in particular, since $\sigma^p = \mathrm{id}$ we have $\mu(\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$. The second statement follows from this first one and Lemma 2.20. □

Remark 2.23. The linear map μ is a linear projection onto the subspace $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$.

Lemma 2.24. *We have the following equality:*

$$\mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]} \cap \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma} = \mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha],\sigma}.$$

In particular, $\mu(\mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}) = \mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha],\sigma}$.

Proof. Since for $\gamma \in K^{[\alpha]}_{\sigma}$ we have $y_1^{\Lambda_{\gamma_1}} e(\gamma) \in \mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]} \cap \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$, we get $\mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]} \cap \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma} \supseteq \mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha],\sigma}$. We now consider an element h of $\mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}$. Because of (2.1)–(2.2) and Theorem 2.6, we know that we have:

$$h = \sum_{w_1,w_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^1,\boldsymbol{m}^2 \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^{[\alpha]}} h_{\boldsymbol{k}} \psi_{w_1} y_1^{m^1_1} \cdots y_n^{m^1_n} \left[y_1^{\Lambda_{k_1}} e(\boldsymbol{k}) \right] \psi_{w_2} y_1^{m^2_1} \cdots y_n^{m^2_n},$$

where the $h_{\boldsymbol{k}} \in F$ are some scalars which depend on $\boldsymbol{k}$ and on the other various indices of the sums. For $m \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ we have:

$$\sigma^m(h) = \sum_{w_1,w_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^1,\boldsymbol{m}^2 \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^{[\alpha]}} h_{\boldsymbol{k}} \psi_{w_1} y_1^{m^1_1} \cdots y_n^{m^1_n} \left[y_1^{\Lambda_{k_1}} e(\sigma^m(\boldsymbol{k})) \right] \psi_{w_2} y_1^{m^2_1} \cdots y_n^{m^2_n}.$$

Summing all these equalities from $m = 0$ to $p-1$ and using (2.20) we get, were $\gamma_{\boldsymbol{k}} \in K^{[\alpha]}_{\sigma}$ is such that $\boldsymbol{k} \in \gamma_{\boldsymbol{k}}$:

$$p\mu(h) = \sum_{w_1,w_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}^1,\boldsymbol{m}^2 \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^{[\alpha]}} h_{\boldsymbol{k}} \psi_{w_1} y_1^{m^1_1} \cdots y_n^{m^1_n} \left[y_1^{\Lambda_{k_1}} e(\gamma_{\boldsymbol{k}}) \right] \psi_{w_2} y_1^{m^2_1} \cdots y_n^{m^2_n}. \quad (2.37)$$

Since:

- we have $p \neq 0$;
- the elements $\psi_{w_1} y_1^{m^1_1} \cdots y_n^{m^1_n} e(\gamma_{\boldsymbol{k}})$ and $e(\gamma_{\boldsymbol{k}}) \psi_{w_2} y_1^{m^2_1} \cdots y_n^{m^2_n}$ belong to $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$;
- with $\gamma := \gamma_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ we have $\Lambda_{\gamma_1} = \Lambda_{k_1}$ (recall (2.33));

we deduce that $\mu(h) \in \mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha],\sigma}$. Hence, if in addition $h \in \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$ then we have $\mu(h) = h$ thus we conclude that $\mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]} \cap \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha],\sigma}$. Finally, we get $\mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]} \cap \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma} = \mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha],\sigma}$, and the last statement derives from Lemma 2.22. □

Lemma 2.25. *For each* $\mathtt{h} \in \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$*, there is some* $h \in \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$ *such that* $\pi_{[\alpha]}(h) = \mathtt{h}$.

Proof. Let $\mathtt{h} \in \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)$ and let $h_0 \in \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)$ such that $\pi_{[\alpha]}(h_0) = \mathtt{h}$. Since $\mathtt{h}$ is fixed by $\sigma^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$, we have $\sigma(h_0) - h_0 \in \mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}$. Hence, by Lemma 2.20 we obtain:

$$\forall m' \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}, \sigma^{m'+1}(h_0) - \sigma^{m'}(h_0) \in \mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]},$$

thus, by sum:

$$\forall m \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}, \sigma^{m}(h_0) - h_0 \in \mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}$$

(note that the membership is trivial for $m = 0$). Setting $h := \mu(h_0)$ we get $h - h_0 \in \mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}$ thus $\pi_{[\alpha]}(h) = \pi_{[\alpha]}(h_0) = \mathtt{h}$. We conclude since by Lemma 2.22 we have $h \in \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$. □

We are now ready to state the main theorem of this section; we recall that the idempotents $\{e(\gamma)\}_{\gamma}$ of (2.21) are indexed by the set $K^{[\alpha]}_{\sigma}$, which is defined in Definition 2.13.

Theorem 2.26. *The algebras* $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$ *and* $(\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma})^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$ *are isomorphic. In particular, the generators* (2.21) *together with the relations* (2.22)–(2.32) *and* (2.35) *give a presentation of* $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$.

Proof. Recalling Corollary 2.17, we begin by noticing that the given presentation is a presentation of $(\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma)^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$. In particular, we can define a homomorphism of algebras $f : (\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma)^{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \to \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma$ by:

$$\begin{aligned}\forall \gamma \in K^{[\alpha]}_\sigma, f(e(\gamma)) &\coloneqq e(\gamma),\\ \forall a \in \{1,\dots,n\}, f(y_a) &\coloneqq y_a,\\ \forall a \in \{1,\dots,n-1\}, f(\psi_a) &\coloneqq \psi_a.\end{aligned}$$

If $\pi^\sigma_{[\alpha]} : \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma)^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$ is the canonical projection, we have:

$$\forall h \in \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma, f \circ \pi^\sigma_{[\alpha]}(h) = \pi_{[\alpha]}(h) \tag{2.38}$$

(it suffices to check this equality of each generator of $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma$). We now want to construct an inverse $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma \to (\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma)^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$ for f; note that it is not obvious since we do not have any presentation of the starting algebra yet. By Lemma 2.22, we have a well-defined linear map $\mu_1 : \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma) \to (\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma)^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$ given by $\mu_1 = \pi^\sigma_{[\alpha]} \circ \mu$. By Lemma 2.24 we have $\ker \mu_1 \supseteq \mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}$, hence we have a well-defined linear map:

$$\mu_2 : \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma) \to (\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma)^{\mathbf{\Lambda}},$$

and by restriction we get a linear map $\overline{\mu} : \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma \to (\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma)^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$. The diagram of Figure 1 is hence commutative and sums up the situation.



Figure 1: The map $\overline{\mu}$

We now want to prove the following equality:

$$\forall h \in \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma, \overline{\mu} \circ \pi_{[\alpha]}(h) = \pi^\sigma_{[\alpha]}(h). \tag{2.39}$$

Let $h \in \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma$; first, by (2.34) we have $\pi_{[\alpha]}(h) \in \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma$ hence we can evaluate $\overline{\mu}$ at $\pi_{[\alpha]}(h)$. We now just have to use definitions (*i.e.*, the commutative diagram of Figure 1):

$$\overline{\mu} \circ \pi_{[\alpha]}(h) = \mu_2 \circ \pi_{[\alpha]}(h) = \mu_1(h) = \pi^\sigma_{[\alpha]} \circ \mu(h),$$

and we conclude since $\mu(h) = h$ by Lemma 2.22. Finally, let us prove that f and $\overline{\mu}$ are mutual inverses.

- Let $\mathtt{h} \in (\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma)^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$. If $h \in \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma$ is such that $\pi^\sigma_{[\alpha]}(h) = \mathtt{h}$, we have, using (2.38) and (2.39):
$$\overline{\mu} \circ f(\mathtt{h}) = \overline{\mu} \circ f \circ \pi^\sigma_{[\alpha]}(h) = \overline{\mu} \circ \pi_{[\alpha]}(h) = \pi^\sigma_{[\alpha]}(h) = \mathtt{h},$$
hence $\overline{\mu} \circ f$ is the identity of $(\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^\sigma)^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$.

- Let $\mathtt{h} \in \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$. By Lemma 2.25, we can find $h \in \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$ such that $\pi_{[\alpha]}(h) = \mathtt{h}$. Using once again (2.38) and (2.39) we get:

$$f \circ \overline{\mu}(\mathtt{h}) = f \circ \overline{\mu} \circ \pi_{[\alpha]}(h) = f \circ \pi^{\sigma}_{[\alpha]}(h) = \pi_{[\alpha]}(h) = \mathtt{h},$$

  thus $f \circ \overline{\mu}$ is the identity of $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$.

In particular, the algebra homomorphism f is bijective, hence is an algebra isomorphism between $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$ and $(\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma})^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$. □

Remark 2.27. The grading of Remark 2.18 thus gives a grading on $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$, for which $\sigma^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$ is homogeneous (recall Remark 2.11). Moreover, the algebra $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$ is a graded subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{[\alpha]}(\Gamma)$.

Finally, note that we easily obtain an analogue of Ariki's homomorphism of Theorem 1.11 (see also Corollary 1.12).

Proposition 2.28. *There is a well-defined algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{n}(\Gamma)^{\sigma} \to \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{n}(\Gamma)$ given by:*

$$\forall \gamma \in K^{[\alpha]}_{\sigma}, e(\gamma) \mapsto e(\gamma) = \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^{[\alpha]} \\ \boldsymbol{k} \in \gamma}} e(\boldsymbol{k}),$$
$$\forall a \in \{1, \dots, n\}, y_a \mapsto y_a,$$
$$\forall a \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \psi_a \mapsto \psi_a.$$

3 The isomorphism of Brundan and Kleshchev

In this section, we generalise an isomorphism of Brundan and Kleshchev [BrKl] involving $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{n}(q, 1)$ to the case of the algebra $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{n}(q, \zeta)$.

3.1 Statement

We consider the quiver Γ_e defined as follows:

- the vertex set is $\{q^i\}_{i \in I}$;
- there is a directed edge from v to qv for each vertex v of Γ_e.

We will often identify the vertex set with I in the canonical way. In particular, if i is a vertex then there is a directed arrow from i to $i+1$. For $i, i' \in I$, with the notation of Section 2 we thus have:

$$\begin{aligned}
i \to i' &\iff [i' = i+1 \text{ and } i \neq i'+1],\\
i \leftarrow i' &\iff [i = i'+1 \text{ and } i' \neq i+1],\\
i \leftrightarrows i' &\iff [i = i'+1 \text{ and } i' = i+1],\\
i \not\to i' &\iff i \neq i', i' \pm 1.
\end{aligned}$$

The quiver Γ_e is the cyclic quiver with e vertices if $e < \infty$, and a two-sided infinite line if $e = \infty$: we give some examples in Figure 2, where we used the identification between the vertex set of Γ_e and I.

We recall the notation p' and J' introduced at §1.3; we set $K \coloneqq I \times J'$. Let us consider p' non-zero elements $v_1, \dots, v_{p'}$ of F which lie in distinct orbits under the action of $\langle q \rangle$ on $F^{\times}$, that is, for any $k \neq l$ we have:

$$\frac{v_k}{v_l} \notin \langle q \rangle. \tag{3.1}$$

We then consider the quiver Γ defined as follows:

- the vertex set is $V \coloneqq \{v_j q^i\}_{i \in I, j \in J'}$;

Quiver Γ_2 $\qquad 0 \leftrightarrows 1$

Quiver Γ_4 $\qquad \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ 3 & \longleftarrow & 2 \end{array}$

Quiver Γ_∞ $\qquad \cdots \longrightarrow -2 \longrightarrow -1 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 1 \longrightarrow 2 \longrightarrow \cdots$

Figure 2: Three examples of quivers Γ_e

- there is a directed edge from v to qv for each vertex v of Γ.

Since the v_k lie in different q-orbits, the vertex set V of Γ can be identified with $K = I \times J'$. More precisely, we have the following decomposition:

$$V = \bigsqcup_{j \in J'} \{v_j q^i\}_{i \in I}. \tag{3.2}$$

Since:

- the subquiver of Γ with vertex set $\{v_j q^i\}_{i \in I}$ is a copy of Γ_e;
- for $j \neq j' \in J'$, there is not any arrow between any element of $\{v_j q^i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{v_{j'} q^i\}_{i \in I}$;
- the set J' has cardinality p';

we conclude from (3.2) that Γ is exactly p' disjoint copies of Γ_e. In particular, the quiver Γ is loop-free and has no multiple edge.

As a consequence, we will often write $(i, j) \in I \times J'$ for the vertex $v_j q^i \in V$ of Γ. For $i, i' \in I$ and $j, j' \in J$, what precedes ensures that the vertices (i, j) and (i', j') are in a same copy of Γ_e if and only if $j = j'$. Further, there is a directed edge from (i, j) to (i', j') if and only if $[j = j'$ and there is a directed edge in Γ_e from i to $i']$. We give some examples of quivers Γ in Figure 3, where, for aesthetic reasons, we write i_j instead of $v_j q^i$. We also recall from Lemma 1.13 that $p' = \frac{p}{\gcd(p,e)}$ if $e < \infty$ and $p' = p$ if $e = \infty$.

Case $(e, p) = (2, 3)$ $\qquad 0_1 \leftrightarrows 1_1 \qquad 0_2 \leftrightarrows 1_2 \qquad 0_3 \leftrightarrows 1_3$

Case $(e, p) = (2, 6)$ $\qquad 0_1 \leftrightarrows 1_1 \qquad 0_2 \leftrightarrows 1_2 \qquad 0_3 \leftrightarrows 1_3$

Case $(e, p) = (\infty, 2)$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow -2_1 \longrightarrow -1_1 \longrightarrow 0_1 \longrightarrow 1_1 \longrightarrow 2_1 \longrightarrow \cdots$$
$$\cdots \longrightarrow -2_2 \longrightarrow -1_2 \longrightarrow 0_2 \longrightarrow 1_2 \longrightarrow 2_2 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Figure 3: Three examples of quivers Γ

Let now $\mathbf{\Lambda} = (\Lambda_k)_{k \in K} \in \mathbb{N}^{(K)}$ be a weight of level r. Mimicking the definition of $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, \zeta)$, let us choose a tuple $\boldsymbol{u} \in (F^\times)^r$ which is given by exactly $\Lambda_{i,j}$ copies of $v_j q^i$ for each $(i, j) \in I \times J'$ and set $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, \boldsymbol{v}) \coloneqq \mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$. As a result, the relation (1.1) in $\mathcal{H}_n(\boldsymbol{u})$ is:

$$\prod_{i \in I} \prod_{j \in J'} (S - v_j q^i)^{\Lambda_{i,j}} = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

The remaining part of this section is devoted to the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *There is an explicit F-algebra isomorphism:*

$$\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, \boldsymbol{v}) \simeq \mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma).$$

Brundan and Kleshchev [BrKl] proved Theorem 3.1 for $p = 1$: in that case, we have $p' = 1$, the tuple $\boldsymbol{v}$ has only one component (that can be taken equal to 1) and $\Gamma = \Gamma_e$. We will see that the same proof leads to the proof in the general case. Such an isomorphism, for $e < \infty$, was already obtained by Rouquier [Rou, Corollary 3.20].

3.2 Candidate homomorphisms

We recall that $V \simeq K = I \times J'$, together with the definitions $X_1 := S$ and $qX_{a+1} := T_a X_a T_a$ from (1.7). To prove Theorem 3.1, it suffices to give an isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q, \boldsymbol{v})$ (see (3.4)) and $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ for any $\alpha \models_K n$. Let M be a finite-dimensional $\mathcal{H}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q, \boldsymbol{v})$-module.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $a \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the eigenvalues of X_a on M are of the form $v_j q^i$ for $i \in I$ and $j \in J'$.*

Proof. The statement is of course true for $a = 1$ by (1.9). By induction, using [ArKo] or [Gr, Lemma 4.7] we know that any eigenvalue of X_{a+1} differs from an eigenvalues of X_a by a power of q. □

Hence, as the elements $X_1, \dots, X_n$ pairwise commute, we can write M as a direct sum of generalised simultaneous eigenspaces:

$$M = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^n} M(\boldsymbol{k}),$$

where $M(\boldsymbol{k}) = M(\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j})$ is defined by, for $\boldsymbol{k} = (\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j}) \in K^n \simeq I^n \times J'^n$:

$$M(\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j}) := \left\{ m \in M : (X_a - v_{j_a} q^{i_a})^N m = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq a \leq n \right\},$$

where $N \gg 0$; note that all but finitely many $M(\boldsymbol{k})$ are reduced to $\{0\}$. We now consider the family $\{e(\boldsymbol{k})\}_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^n}$ of projections associated with the above decomposition. In particular:

- we have $e(\boldsymbol{k})e(\boldsymbol{k}') = \delta_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}'} e(\boldsymbol{k})$;
- we have $\sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^n} e(\boldsymbol{k}) = \mathrm{id}$ (this is a finite sum since all but finitely many $e(\boldsymbol{k})$ are zero);
- we have $e(\boldsymbol{k})M = M(\boldsymbol{k})$.

Moreover, since $e(\boldsymbol{k})$ is a polynomial in $X_1, \dots, X_n$ we have in fact $e(\boldsymbol{k}) \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q, \boldsymbol{v})$. If now $\alpha \models_K n$ is a K-composition of n, the following element:

$$e(\alpha) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha} e(\boldsymbol{k}) \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q, \boldsymbol{v}),$$

is a central idempotent (the reader should compare this definition to (2.12)). We thus get a subalgebra:

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q, \boldsymbol{v}) := e(\alpha) \mathcal{H}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q, \boldsymbol{v}). \tag{3.4}$$

Remark 3.3. The subalgebra $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q, \boldsymbol{v})$ is either $\{0\}$ or a *block* of $\mathcal{H}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q, \boldsymbol{v})$ (see [LyMa]). This block has unit $e(\alpha)$.

Let us recall that the elements $y_a \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ for $1 \leq a \leq n$ are nilpotent (Lemma 2.4). Hence, each power series $f(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in F[[y_1, \dots, y_n]]$ in these elements is a well-defined element of $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$. In particular, for $a \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha$ the following power series is well-defined element of $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$:

$$P_a(\boldsymbol{k}) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k_a = k_{a+1}, \\ (1-q)(1 - y_a(\boldsymbol{k}) y_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k})^{-1})^{-1} & \text{if } k_a \neq k_{a+1}, \end{cases} \in F[[y_a, y_{a+1}]], \tag{3.5}$$

where:

$$y_a(\boldsymbol{k}) := v_{j_a} q^{i_a} (1 - y_a) \text{ if } \boldsymbol{k} = (\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j}).$$

For $k = (i, j) \in K = I \times J'$, we define:

$$\begin{aligned} q^k &:= q^i, & q^{-k} &:= q^{-i}, \\ v_k &:= v_j, & v_{-k} &:= v_j^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

in particular we obtain $y_a(\boldsymbol{k}) = v_{k_a} q^{k_a}(1 - y_a)$ for any $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^n$. Note that:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - y_a(\boldsymbol{k}) y_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k})^{-1} &= \frac{y_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k}) - y_a(\boldsymbol{k})}{y_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k})} \\ &= \frac{(v_{k_{a+1}} q^{k_{a+1}} - v_{k_a} q^{k_a}) + v_{k_a} q^{k_a} y_a - v_{k_{a+1}} q^{k_{a+1}} y_{a+1}}{y_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k})}; \end{aligned}$$

thus, by (3.1) we know that this expression is indeed invertible when $k_a \neq k_{a+1}$.

For $(w, f) \in \mathfrak{S}_n \times F[[y_1, \dots, y_n]]$, we denote by $f^w \in F[[y_1, \dots, y_n]]$ the usual right action of w on f; for instance, if $w = \tau$ is a transposition then $f^\tau(y_1, \dots, y_n) = f(y_{\tau(1)}, \dots, y_{\tau(n)})$. Let us give a lemma involving this action (see, for instance, [BrKl, (2.6)]).

Lemma 3.4. *For any $f \in F[[y_1, \dots, y_n]], a \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha$ we have:*

$$f\psi_a e(\boldsymbol{k}) = \begin{cases} \psi_a f^{s_a} e(\boldsymbol{k}) + \partial_a(f) e(\boldsymbol{k}) & \text{if } k_a = k_{a+1}, \\ \psi_a f^{s_a} e(\boldsymbol{k}) & \text{if } k_a \neq k_{a+1}, \end{cases}$$

where $\partial_a(f) := \frac{f^{s_a} - f}{y_a - y_{a+1}} \in F[[y_1, \dots, y_n]]$.

Proof. This is a consequence of (2.6) and (2.8)–(2.9). □

We say that a family $\{Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})\}_{a \in \{1,\dots,n-1\}, \boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha}$ of elements of $F[[y_1, \dots, y_n]]$ verifies the property (BK) if:

$$Q_a(\boldsymbol{k}) \text{ is an invertible element of } F[[y_a, y_{a+1}]], \tag{3.7}$$

$$Q_a(\boldsymbol{k}) = 1 - q + q y_{a+1} - y_a \qquad \text{if } k_a = k_{a+1}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$Q_a(\boldsymbol{k}) Q_a(s_a \cdot \boldsymbol{k})^{s_a} = \begin{cases} (1 - P_a(\boldsymbol{k}))(q + P_a(\boldsymbol{k})) & \text{if } k_a \not\to k_{a+1}, \quad (3.9a) \\ \dfrac{(1 - P_a(\boldsymbol{k}))(q + P_a(\boldsymbol{k}))}{y_{a+1} - y_a} & \text{if } k_a \to k_{a+1}, \quad (3.9b) \\ \dfrac{(1 - P_a(\boldsymbol{k}))(q + P_a(\boldsymbol{k}))}{y_a - y_{a+1}} & \text{if } k_a \leftarrow k_{a+1}, \quad (3.9c) \\ \dfrac{(1 - P_a(\boldsymbol{k}))(q + P_a(\boldsymbol{k}))}{(y_{a+1} - y_a)(y_a - y_{a+1})} & \text{if } k_a \leftrightarrows k_{a+1}, \quad (3.9d) \end{cases}$$

$$Q_{a+1}(s_{a+1} s_a \cdot \boldsymbol{k})^{s_a} = Q_a(s_a s_{a+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{k})^{s_{a+1}}. \tag{3.10}$$

We can now give the key of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\{Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})\}_{a \in \{1,\dots,n-1\}, \boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha}$ be a family of elements of $F[[y_1, \dots, y_n]]$ which verifies* (BK). *The F-algebra homomorphisms $f : \mathcal{H}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q, \boldsymbol{v}) \to \mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ and $g : \mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{H}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q, \boldsymbol{v})$ given by:*

$$f(X_a) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha} y_a(\boldsymbol{k}) e(\boldsymbol{k}),$$

$$f(T_a) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha} (\psi_a Q_a(\boldsymbol{k}) - P_a(\boldsymbol{k})) e(\boldsymbol{k}),$$

and, recalling (3.6):

$$g(e(\boldsymbol{k})) := e(\boldsymbol{k}),$$

$$g(y_a) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha} (1 - v_{-k_a} q^{-k_a} X_a) e(\boldsymbol{k}),$$

$$g(\psi_a) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha} (T_a + P_a(\boldsymbol{k})) Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})^{-1} e(\boldsymbol{k}),$$

are well-defined and inverse to each other.

We will explain at the beginning of §3.3.2 how the elements $P_a(\boldsymbol{k})$ and $Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})$ are considered as elements of $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\boldsymbol{v})$. We note that there exist such families $\{Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})\}_{a,\boldsymbol{k}}$; see §4.1 for further details.

Remark 3.6. Recalling $X_1 = S$, we have thus defined f on a bigger set than the generating set $\{S, T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}\}$ of §1.1: we will explain at the end of §3.3.1 why the given images of X_a for $a > 1$ are indeed the right ones.

3.3 Proof of Theorems 3.1 and 3.5

In this subsection, we first check that the above maps indeed define algebras homomorphisms: we check that the different defining relations (1.2)–(1.6),(1.9) (for f) and (2.1)–(2.11), (2.13) (for g) are satisfied. The proof is exactly as in [BrKl, Section 4]: we will only give some details when some v_j are involved. For the remaining parts, it suffices to write a (respectively $\boldsymbol{k}, k$) instead of the r (resp. $\boldsymbol{i}, i$) of [BrKl].

3.3.1 The map f is a homomorphism

We check that f is defined over $\mathcal{H}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\boldsymbol{v})$ (and not only $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\boldsymbol{v})$).

Check of (1.2). The proof is exactly the same as the one for [BrKl, Theorem 4.3]. It suffices to check that for any $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha$ we have $f(T_a)^2 e(\boldsymbol{k}) = (q-1) f(T_a) e(\boldsymbol{k}) + q e(\boldsymbol{k})$, and we conclude since $\sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha} e(\boldsymbol{k}) = 1$ in $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$.

Check of (1.3). It is clear that $f(X_1)f(X_2) = f(X_2)f(X_1)$. Hence, it suffices to prove that $qf(X_2) = f(T_1)f(X_1)f(T_1)$; we will in fact prove that for any $a \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$:

$$qf(X_{a+1}) = f(T_a)f(X_a)f(T_a). \tag{3.11}$$

Since we have just checked the relation (1.2) for $f(T_a)$, it suffices to prove that for any $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha$:

$$f(X_a)f(T_a)e(\boldsymbol{k}) = (f(T_a) + 1 - q) f(X_{a+1}) e(\boldsymbol{k}).$$

Once again, the sequel is exactly the same as in the corresponding part of the proof of [BrKl, Theorem 4.3]; we write down here some lines since we have to add some v_j in the calculations. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} X_a T_a e(\boldsymbol{k}) &= \left(y_a(s_a \cdot \boldsymbol{k}) \psi_a Q_a(\boldsymbol{k}) - y_a(\boldsymbol{k}) P_a(\boldsymbol{k})\right) e(\boldsymbol{k}) \\ &= \left(\psi_a y_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k}) Q_a(\boldsymbol{k}) + \delta_{k_a, k_{a+1}} v_{k_a} q^{k_a} Q_a(\boldsymbol{k}) - y_a(\boldsymbol{k}) P_a(\boldsymbol{k})\right) e(\boldsymbol{k}), \end{aligned}$$

and:

$$(T_a + 1 - q) X_{a+1} e(\boldsymbol{k}) = \left(\psi_a Q_a(\boldsymbol{k}) - P_a(\boldsymbol{k}) + 1 - q\right) y_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k}) e(\boldsymbol{k}),$$

and using various relations and definitions we prove that these two quantities are equal.

Check of (1.4). This is straightforward from the defining relations in $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$.

Check of (1.5). Idem.

Check of (1.6). One more time, the corresponding part of the proof of [BrKl, Theorem 4.3] can be reproduced here.

Check of (1.9). We have to check that:

$$\prod_{k\in K}\left(f(X_1)-v_kq^k\right)^{\Lambda_k}=0.$$

We have, using (2.1)–(2.2):

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{k\in K}\left(f(X_1)-v_kq^k\right)^{\Lambda_k}&=\prod_{k\in K}\left[\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in K^\alpha}\left(v_{k_1}q^{k_1}(1-y_1)-v_kq^k\right)e(\boldsymbol{k})\right]^{\Lambda_k}\\&=\prod_{k\in K}\left[\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in K^\alpha}\left(v_{k_1}q^{k_1}(1-y_1)-v_kq^k\right)^{\Lambda_k}e(\boldsymbol{k})\right]\\\prod_{k\in K}\left(f(X_1)-v_kq^k\right)^{\Lambda_k}&=\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in K^\alpha}\prod_{k\in K}\left[\left(v_{k_1}q^{k_1}(1-y_1)-v_kq^k\right)^{\Lambda_k}e(\boldsymbol{k})\right].\end{aligned}$$

By (2.13), for $\boldsymbol{k}\in K^\alpha$ the term for $k=k_1$ vanishes, hence we get the result.

To conclude, the map $f:\mathcal{H}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\boldsymbol{v})\to\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ defined on the generators $X_1,T_1,\dots,T_{n-1}$ yields a homomorphism of algebra; by restriction, we get an algebra homomorphism $f:\mathcal{H}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\boldsymbol{v})\to\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$. In particular, the image of X_a for $a>1$ is the one given in Theorem 3.5, thanks to (1.7) and (3.11).

3.3.2 The map g is a homomorphism

In this paragraph, for any $m\in\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ we also write $m:=g(m)\in\mathcal{H}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\boldsymbol{v})$. In particular, we have:

$$y_a=\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in K^\alpha}(1-v_{-k_a}q^{-k_a}X_a)e(\boldsymbol{k})\in\mathcal{H}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\boldsymbol{v}),$$

thus we can consider the power series $P_a(\boldsymbol{k})$ and $Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})$ as elements of $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\boldsymbol{v})$, namely:

$$\psi_a=\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in K^\alpha}(T_a+P_a(\boldsymbol{k}))Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})^{-1}e(\boldsymbol{k})\in\mathcal{H}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\boldsymbol{v}).$$

We define the following "intertwining element" in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\boldsymbol{v})$ for $a\in\{1,\dots,n-1\}$ by:

$$\Phi_a:=T_a+(1-q)\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{k}\in K^\alpha\\k_a\neq k_{a+1}}}\left(1-X_aX_{a+1}^{-1}\right)^{-1}e(\boldsymbol{k})+\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{k}\in K^\alpha\\k_a=k_{a+1}}}e(\boldsymbol{k}),$$

where $\left(1-X_aX_{a+1}^{-1}\right)^{-1}e(\boldsymbol{k})$ denotes the inverse of $(1-X_aX_{a+1}^{-1})e(\boldsymbol{k})$ in $e(\boldsymbol{k})\mathcal{H}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\boldsymbol{v})e(\boldsymbol{k})$. Noticing that $y_a(\boldsymbol{k})e(\boldsymbol{k})=X_ae(\boldsymbol{k})$, we can check the following equality:

$$\Phi_a=\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in K^\alpha}(T_a+P_a(\boldsymbol{k}))e(\boldsymbol{k}).$$

We can give an analogue of [BrKl, Lemma 4.1]; once again, we just have to write a (respectively $\boldsymbol{k},k$) instead of their r (resp. $\boldsymbol{i},i$), both in the statements and the proofs. Among all the relations in the lemma, we will make here an explicit use of the following one:

$$X_{a+1}\Phi_ae(\boldsymbol{k})=\begin{cases}\Phi_aX_ae(\boldsymbol{k}) & \text{if } k_a\neq k_{a+1},\\ \Phi_aX_ae(\boldsymbol{k})+(qX_{a+1}-X_a)e(\boldsymbol{k}) & \text{if } k_a=k_{a+1}.\end{cases}\tag{3.12}$$

We now check the different relations of $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$. Relations (2.1)–(2.7), (2.10)–(2.11) and (2.13) come as in the corresponding part of the proof of [BrKl, Theorem 4.2]. To check (2.9), again we just follow the corresponding part of the proof of [BrKl, Theorem 4.2], but we need to add some v_j. We have:

$$y_{a+1}\psi_ae(\boldsymbol{k})=(1-v_{-k_a}q^{-k_a}X_{a+1})\Phi_aQ_a(\boldsymbol{k})^{-1}e(\boldsymbol{k}).$$

If $k_a \neq k_{a+1}$, using (3.12) we get:

$$y_{a+1}\psi_a e(\boldsymbol{k}) = \Phi_a Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})^{-1}(1 - v_{-k_a}q^{-k_a}X_a)e(\boldsymbol{k}) = \psi_a y_a e(\boldsymbol{k}),$$

whereas if $k_a = k_{a+1}$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{a+1}\psi_a e(\boldsymbol{k}) &= (1 - v_{-k_a}q^{-k_a}X_{a+1})(T_a + 1)Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})^{-1}e(\boldsymbol{k}) \\ &= \left((T_a + 1)(1 - v_{-k_a}q^{-k_a}X_a) + v_{-k_a}q^{-k_a}X_a - v_{-k_a}q^{1-k_a}X_{a+1}\right) Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})^{-1}e(\boldsymbol{k}) \\ y_{a+1}\psi_a e(\boldsymbol{k}) &= (\psi_a y_a + 1)e(\boldsymbol{k}), \end{aligned}$$

since $(v_{-k_a}q^{-k_a}X_a - v_{-k_a}q^{1-k_a}X_{a+1})e(\boldsymbol{k}) = Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})e(\boldsymbol{k})$. The proof of (2.8) is similar.

3.3.3 Conclusion

As in [BrKl, Lemma 3.4], we have:

$$\forall \boldsymbol{k} \in K^\alpha, f(e(\boldsymbol{k})) = e(\boldsymbol{k}) \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma).$$

It is now an easy exercise to show that $f \circ g$ is the identity of $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$, and then that $g \circ f$ is the identity of $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q, \boldsymbol{v})$. Hence, the homomorphisms f and g are inverse isomorphisms and Theorem 3.5 is proved, in particular we have the following F-isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q, \boldsymbol{v}) \simeq \mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma).$$

Summing over all $\alpha \models_K n$, we thus get the statement of Theorem 3.1. Note that since $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q, \boldsymbol{v})$ is zero for all but finitely many α, the same thing happens for $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$. In particular, the direct sum:

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \models_K n} \mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma),$$

has a finite number of non-vanishing terms.

3.4 An unexpected corollary

For $j \in J'$, let us write $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^j$ for the restriction of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ to $I \times \{j\} \simeq I$. Since Γ is given by p' disjoint copies of the quiver Γ, we know from [Ro, Theorem 6.30] that there is an algebra isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \models_{J'} n} \mathrm{Mat}_{m_\lambda}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\lambda_1}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^1}(\Gamma_e) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\lambda_{p'}}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{p'}}(\Gamma_e)\right),$$

where $m_\lambda := \frac{n!}{\lambda_1! \cdots \lambda_{p'}!}$. For any $j \in J'$, we set:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_j}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^j}(q) := \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_j}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^j}(q, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{triv}}),$$

where $\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{triv}}$ has only one coordinate, equal to 1. In particular, we saw from Theorem 3.1 or [BrKl] that we have the F-isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_j}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^j}(q) \simeq \mathcal{R}_{\lambda_j}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^j}(\Gamma_e)$. We deduce the following result.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\boldsymbol{v} \in (F^\times)^{p'}$ as in §3.1. We have an (explicit) F-algebra isomorphism:*

$$\mathcal{H}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q, \boldsymbol{v}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \models_{J'} n} \mathrm{Mat}_{m_\lambda}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_1}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^1}(q) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_{p'}}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{p'}}(q)\right).$$

In particular, the algebras $\mathcal{H}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q, \boldsymbol{v})$ and $\oplus_{\lambda \models_{J'} n} \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_1}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^1}(q) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_{p'}}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{p'}}(q)$ are Morita equivalent. Note that since the following condition is satisfied (recall (3.1)):

$$\prod_{1 \leq j < j' \leq p'} \prod_{i,i' \in I} \prod_{-n < a < n} \left(q^a(v_j q^i) - v_{j'} q^{i'}\right) \in F^\times,$$

the Morita equivalence is known by [DiMa, Theorem 1.1]. Therefore, Theorem 3.7 provides an explicit isomorphism for which the Morita equivalence of [DiMa] derives.

Remark 3.8. If $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^1 = \cdots = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{p'}$, by [PA, Corollary 3.2] or [Ro] we know that the algebra of Theorem 3.7 is a *cyclotomic Yokonuma–Hecke algebra of type A*, as introduced in [ChPA].

4 A presentation for $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q)$

In this section, we prove our second main result, given in Corollary 4.9: we give a cyclotomic quiver Hecke-like presentation for $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q)$. The key is to make a careful choice for the family $\{Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})\}_{a,\boldsymbol{k}}$.

4.1 A nice family

We consider the quiver Γ with vertex set $V = \{v_j q^i\}_{i\in I, j\in J'} \simeq K = I \times J'$ of §3.1, where $v_1, \dots, v_{p'} \in F^\times$ verify (3.1). We give here a particular choice for the family $\{Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})\}_{a,\boldsymbol{k}}$; we recall the definition of the family $\{P_a(\boldsymbol{k})\}_{a,\boldsymbol{k}}$ of (3.5).

Lemma 4.1 ([StWe, (5.4)]). *The family $\{Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})\}_{1\le a<n, \boldsymbol{k}\in K^n}$ given by:*

$$Q_a(\boldsymbol{k}) \coloneqq \begin{cases} 1-q+qy_{a+1}-y_a & \text{if } k_a = k_{a+1}, \\ \frac{1-P_a(\boldsymbol{k})}{y_{a+1}-y_a} & \text{if } k_a \leftarrow k_{a+1} \text{ or } k_a \leftrightarrows k_{a+1}, \\ 1-P_a(\boldsymbol{k}) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

verifies the property (BK).

Remark 4.2. The condition "$k_a \leftarrow k_{a+1}$ or $k_a \leftrightarrows k_{a+1}$" is equivalent to "$v_{k_a} q^{k_a} = q v_{k_{a+1}} q^{k_{a+1}}$". With $\boldsymbol{k} = (\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j})$, that means "$i_a = i_{a+1} + 1$ and $j_a = j_{a+1}$".

The family given in [BrKl, (4.36)] would be the following one:

$$Q_a^{\mathrm{BK}}(\boldsymbol{k}) \coloneqq \begin{cases} 1-q+qy_{a+1}-y_a & \text{if } k_a = k_{a+1}, \\ (y_a(\boldsymbol{k}) - qy_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k}))/(y_a(\boldsymbol{k}) - y_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k})) & \text{if } k_a \not\to k_{a+1}, \\ (y_a(\boldsymbol{k}) - qy_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k}))/(y_a(\boldsymbol{k}) - y_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k}))^2 & \text{if } k_a \to k_{a+1}, \\ v_{k_a} q^{k_a} & \text{if } k_a \leftarrow k_{a+1}, \\ v_{k_a} q^{k_a}/(y_a(\boldsymbol{k}) - y_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k})) & \text{if } k_a \leftrightarrows k_{a+1}. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

We will see in Remark 4.8 why the choice of Lemma 4.1 is more adapted to our problem.

For the convenience of the reader, we will now give some details about Lemma 4.1 and its proof. First, let us prove that $Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})$ is well-defined. If $k_a \neq k_{a+1}$ we have $P_a(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{(1-q)y_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k})}{y_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k}) - y_a(\boldsymbol{k})}$, thus:

$$1 - P_a(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{qy_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k}) - y_a(\boldsymbol{k})}{y_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k}) - y_a(\boldsymbol{k})} = \frac{qv_{k_{a+1}} q^{k_{a+1}}(1-y_{a+1}) - v_{k_a} q^{k_a}(1-y_a)}{y_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k}) - y_a(\boldsymbol{k})}.$$

In particular, if $k_a \leftarrow k_{a+1}$ or $k_a \leftrightarrows k_{a+1}$ we get (recall Remark 4.2):

$$1 - P_a(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{v_{k_a} q^{k_a}(y_a - y_{a+1})}{y_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k}) - y_a(\boldsymbol{k})},$$

thus:

$$\frac{1 - P_a(\boldsymbol{k})}{y_{a+1} - y_a} = \frac{v_{k_a} q^{k_a}}{y_a(\boldsymbol{k}) - y_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k})}.$$

Remark 4.3. We deduce from these calculations that $Q_a(\boldsymbol{k}) = Q_a^{\mathrm{BK}}(\boldsymbol{k})$ if $k_a = k_{a+1}$, $k_a \not\to k_{a+1}$ or $k_a \leftrightarrows k_{a+1}$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. As suggested in [StWe], we first notice that, if $k_a \neq k_{a+1}$:

$$(1 - P_a(s_a \cdot \boldsymbol{k}))^{s_a} = q + P_a(\boldsymbol{k}). \tag{4.2}$$

This is a straightforward consequence of the equality $P_a(\boldsymbol{k}) + P_a(s_a \cdot \boldsymbol{k})^{s_a} = 1 - q$ (see [BrKl, (4.28)]). We now simply check the different conditions.

(3.7) The element $Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})$ is of course invertible when $k_a = k_{a+1}$ (since $1 - q \neq 0$). The remaining case follows from the above calculations.

(3.8) True by definition.

(3.9a) We have $k_a \not\to k_{a+1}$ (in particular, $k_a \neq k_{a+1}$) thus $Q_a(\boldsymbol{k}) = 1 - P_a(\boldsymbol{k})$ and we immediately deduce the result from (4.2).

(3.9b) We have $k_a \to k_{a+1}$, hence $Q_a(\boldsymbol{k}) = 1 - P_a(\boldsymbol{k})$ and $Q_a(s_a \cdot \boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{1-P_a(s_a\cdot\boldsymbol{k})}{y_{a+1}-y_a}$ thus:

$$Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})Q_a(s_a \cdot \boldsymbol{k})^{s_a} = (1 - P_a(\boldsymbol{k}))\frac{q + P_a(\boldsymbol{k})}{y_a - y_{a+1}}.$$

(3.9c) Similar.

(3.9d) We have $k_a \leftrightarrows k_{a+1}$, hence $Q_a(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{1-P_a(\boldsymbol{k})}{y_{a+1}-y_a}$ and $Q_a(s_a \cdot \boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{1-P_a(s_a\cdot\boldsymbol{k})}{y_{a+1}-y_a}$ thus:

$$Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})Q_a(s_a \cdot \boldsymbol{k})^{s_a} = \frac{1 - P_a(\boldsymbol{k})}{y_{a+1} - y_a} \cdot \frac{q + P_a(\boldsymbol{k})}{y_a - y_{a+1}}.$$

(3.10) It suffices to see that $P_{a+1}(s_{a+1}s_a\cdot\boldsymbol{k})^{s_a} = P_a(s_as_{a+1}\cdot\boldsymbol{k})^{s_{a+1}}$; this follows from [BrKl, (4.29)] and the braid relation $s_as_{a+1}s_a = s_{a+1}s_as_{a+1}$.

□

4.2 Intertwining

In this subsection, we show how our previous works allow us to prove our main result (Corollary 4.9). For $j \in J'$, let us set $v_j \coloneqq \zeta^j$: it follows from the definition of p' that $v_1, \dots, v_{p'}$ verify the distinct orbit condition (3.1). In particular, the vertex set of Γ is $V = \{\zeta^j q^i\}_{i\in I, j\in J'}$. Let us consider a weight $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = (\Lambda_k)_{k\in K}$ of level r, such that:

$$\forall i \in I, \forall j, j' \in J', \Lambda_{i,j} = \Lambda_{i,j'} =: \Lambda_i. \tag{4.3}$$

We suppose that the associated tuple $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = (\Lambda_i)_{i\in I}$, of level ωd, verifies the condition of Proposition 1.17, that is (recall the notation η of (1.22)):

$$\forall i \in I, \Lambda_i = \Lambda_{i+\eta}, \tag{4.4}$$

so that the algebras $\mathcal{H}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta), \mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q)$ (recall Definition 1.18) and the shift-automorphism of $\mathcal{H}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta)$ (recall Proposition 1.4) are well-defined. We will use the above condition (4.4) and the results of §2.3 to define a particular automorphism σ of $\mathcal{R}_n^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$.

Let us define $\sigma : V \to V$ by:

$$\forall v \in V, \sigma(v) \coloneqq \zeta v. \tag{4.5}$$

Note that σ is well-defined since V is also given by $\{\zeta^j q^i\}_{i\in I, j\in J}$. Moreover, the reader would have noticed the similarity with the map of Proposition 1.4.

Lemma 4.4. *The map $\sigma : V \to V$ defined on the vertices of Γ verifies the assumptions of §2.3, that is:*

- *the map $\sigma : V \to V$ is a bijection;*
- *if (v, v') is an edge of Γ then $(\sigma(v), \sigma(v'))$ is also an edge of Γ;*
- *for any $p_1 \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ and any vertex $v \in V$ we have $\sigma^{p_1}(v) \neq v = \sigma^p(v)$.*

Proof. Since ζ is a primitive pth root of unity, we deduce that the first and third points are verified. It remains to prove the second one; let (v, v') be an edge of Γ. By definition, we have $v' = qv$, thus $\zeta v' = \zeta(qv) = q(\zeta v)$. Hence, we have $\sigma(v') = q\sigma(v)$: we have proved that $(\sigma(v), \sigma(v'))$ is an edge of Γ. □

The action of σ on V is algebraically easy; let us now describe how σ acts "graphically" on the set V of the vertices of Γ, that is, on $K = I \times J'$. Let $i \in I, j \in J'$ and set $v \coloneqq \zeta^j q^i$; we have:

$$\sigma(\zeta^j q^i) = \zeta^{j+1} q^i. \tag{4.6}$$

Hence, if $j < p'$ then σ just translates the vertex v to the copy of Γ_e directly on its right. If $j = p'$, we have $j + 1 = p' + 1 \notin J'$ thus we write:

$$\sigma(\zeta^{p'} q^i) = \zeta \zeta^{p'} q^i = \zeta q^{i+\eta}. \tag{4.7}$$

It means that v is translated to the first copy of Γ_e *and* rotated by η. Note that depending on Γ, there may not be any translation or rotation. With the examples of Figure 3, that gives:

case $(e, p) = (2, 3)$ we have $p' = 3, \eta = 0$ and the map σ is given by the product of 3-cycles $(0_1, 0_2, 0_3)(1_1, 1_2, 1_3)$;

case $(e, p) = (2, 6)$ we have $p' = 3, \eta = 1$ and the map σ is given by the 6-cycle $(0_1, 0_2, 0_3, 1_1, 1_2, 1_3)$;

case $(e, p) = (\infty, 2)$ we have $p' = 2, \eta = 0$ and the map σ is given by the product of transpositions $\prod_{i \in I}(i_1, i_2)$.

In particular, note that σ has indeed order p.

By Theorem 2.10 and Lemma 2.19, the permutation σ of the vertices of V induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{R}_{\sigma\cdot\alpha}^{\sigma\cdot\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ for any $\alpha \models_{J'} n$. Let us now check that the weight $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ verifies the σ-stability condition (2.33).

Proposition 4.5. *For any $k \in K = I \times J'$ we have $\Lambda_k = \Lambda_{\sigma(k)}$.*

Proof. We have seen above that for $(i, j) \in I \times J'$:

- if $j < p'$ then $\sigma(i, j) = (i, j + 1)$;
- if $j = p'$ then $\sigma(i, j) = (i + \eta, 1)$.

Thus, the result derives from (4.3)–(4.4). □

By Lemma 2.20, we know that the map σ yields an automorphism of the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra $\mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$; we will refer to it as the *shift-automorphism* of $\mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 4.6. *The power series $y_a(\boldsymbol{k}), P_a(\boldsymbol{k})$ and $Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})$ of $\mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ are shift-invariant. Moreover:*

$$\begin{aligned} y_a(\sigma(\boldsymbol{k})) &= \zeta y_a(\boldsymbol{k}), \\ P_a(\sigma(\boldsymbol{k})) &= P_a(\boldsymbol{k}), \\ Q_a(\sigma(\boldsymbol{k})) &= Q_a(\boldsymbol{k}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first statement is clear since y_a and y_{a+1} are shift-invariant (by definition, just recall Theorem 2.10). Recall that $V = \{\zeta^j q^i\}_{i \in I, j \in J'} \simeq K$ is the vertex set of Γ; the image of $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^n$ in V^n is $(\zeta^{k_1} q^{k_1}, \dots, \zeta^{k_n} q^{k_n})$, where $\zeta^k q^k = \zeta^j q^i$ if $k = (i, j)$ (recall (3.6)). In particular, the image of $\sigma(\boldsymbol{k})$ in V^n is $(\zeta\zeta^{k_1} q^{k_1}, \dots, \zeta\zeta^{k_n} q^{k_n})$. Thus, we have:

$$y_a(\sigma(\boldsymbol{k})) = \zeta \zeta^{k_a} q^{k_a}(1 - y_a) = \zeta y_a(\boldsymbol{k}).$$

Hence, if $k_a = k_{a+1}$ then by Lemma 2.8 we have $P_a(\boldsymbol{k}) = P_a(\sigma(\boldsymbol{k}))$, and if $k_a \neq k_{a+1}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} P_a(\sigma(\boldsymbol{k})) &= (1 - q)\big(1 - y_a(\sigma(\boldsymbol{k}))y_{a+1}(\sigma(\boldsymbol{k}))^{-1}\big)^{-1} \\ &= (1 - q)\big((1 - \zeta\zeta^{-1} y_a(\boldsymbol{k})y_{a+1}(\boldsymbol{k})^{-1}\big)^{-1} \\ P_a(\sigma(\boldsymbol{k})) &= P_a(\boldsymbol{k}). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality $Q_a(\sigma(\boldsymbol{k})) = Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})$ is now obvious. □

Let us now denote by $\widetilde{\sigma} : \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, \zeta) \to \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, \zeta)$ the shift-automorphism of $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, \zeta)$ (defined in Proposition 1.4). Recalling the choice for $\boldsymbol{v}$ that we made at the beginning of §4.2, we consider the F-algebra isomorphism $f : \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, \zeta) \to \mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ from Theorems 3.1 and 3.5, defined with the family $\{Q_a(\boldsymbol{k})\}_{a, \boldsymbol{k}}$ of Lemma 4.1.

Theorem 4.7 (Main theorem)**.** *We have* $\sigma^{-1} \circ f = f \circ \widetilde{\sigma}$.

Proof. Since we deal with algebra homomorphisms, it suffices to check the equality on the generators $S, T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$ of $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, \zeta)$. We successively have, using Lemma 4.6 (recall that, by definition, $S = X_1$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{-1} \circ f(S) &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^n} \sigma^{-1}\big(y_1(\boldsymbol{k}) e(\boldsymbol{k})\big) \\
&= \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^n} y_1(\boldsymbol{k}) e(\sigma^{-1}(\boldsymbol{k})) \\
&= \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^n} y_1(\sigma(\boldsymbol{k})) e(\boldsymbol{k}) \\
&= \zeta f(S) \\
&= f(\zeta S) \\
\sigma^{-1} \circ f(S) &= f \circ \widetilde{\sigma}(S),
\end{aligned}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{-1} \circ f(T_a) &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^n} \sigma^{-1}\big([\psi_a Q_a(\boldsymbol{k}) - P_a(\boldsymbol{k})] e(\boldsymbol{k})\big) \\
&= \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^n} [\psi_a Q_a(\boldsymbol{k}) - P_a(\boldsymbol{k})] e(\sigma^{-1}(\boldsymbol{k})) \\
&= \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^n} [\psi_a Q_a(\sigma(\boldsymbol{k})) - P_a(\sigma(\boldsymbol{k}))] e(\boldsymbol{k}) \\
&= \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in K^n} [\psi_a Q_a(\boldsymbol{k}) - P_a(\boldsymbol{k})] e(\boldsymbol{k}) \\
&= f(T_a) \\
\sigma^{-1} \circ f(T_a) &= f \circ \widetilde{\sigma}(T_a).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the above sums over K^n are in fact finite, since all but finitely many $e(\boldsymbol{k}) \in \mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ are zero (recall, for instance, §3.3.3). □

Remark 4.8. Theorem 4.7 fails if we consider the homomorphism f built from the family $\{Q_a^{\mathrm{BK}}(\boldsymbol{k})\}_{a,\boldsymbol{k}}$. For instance, Lemma 4.6 is no longer valid with $Q_a^{\mathrm{BK}}(\boldsymbol{k})$, since if $k_a \leftarrow k_{a+1}$:

$$Q_a^{\mathrm{BK}}(\sigma(\boldsymbol{k})) = \zeta \zeta^{k_a} q^{k_a} = \zeta Q_a^{\mathrm{BK}}(\boldsymbol{k}),$$

and the same result holds if $k_a \to k_{a+1}$.

We now recall some notation and facts from §2.3.1. If $\alpha \models_K n$, we denote by $[\alpha]$ its orbit under the action of $\langle \sigma \rangle$ (this action is defined in Lemma 2.9); we have an associated subset $K^{[\alpha]} = \sqcup_{\beta \in [\alpha]} K^\beta$ of K^n (see (2.15)). The quotient set of $K^{[\alpha]}$ by the equivalence relation $\sim$ generated by $\forall \boldsymbol{k} \in K^{[\alpha]}, \boldsymbol{k} \sim \sigma(\boldsymbol{k})$ is $K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}$ (Definition 2.13). Each equivalence class $\gamma \in K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}$ has cardinality p, and is given by $\gamma = \{\boldsymbol{k}, \sigma(\boldsymbol{k}), \dots, \sigma^{p-1}(\boldsymbol{k})\}$ for some $\boldsymbol{k} \in K^{[\alpha]}$ (see (2.19)). Finally, since $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is σ-stable (Proposition 4.5) and thanks to the canonical map $K^n/\sim \to (K/\sim)^n$, for any $\gamma \in K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}$ and $a \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the integer Λ_{γ_a} is well-defined.

Corollary 4.9. *The F-algebra isomorphism $f : \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, \zeta) \to \mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ induces an isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q)$ and $\mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)^\sigma$. Hence, we have the following F-algebra isomorphism:*

$$\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q) \simeq \bigoplus_{[\alpha]} \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)^\sigma,$$

where $[\alpha]$ runs over the orbits of the K-compositions of n under the action of $\langle \sigma \rangle$, and the subalgebra $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)^\sigma$ has a presentation given by the generators

$$\{e(\gamma)\}_{\gamma \in K_\sigma^{[\alpha]}} \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \cup \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1}\},$$

and the relations (2.22)–(2.32) *and* (2.35).

Proof. Using Theorem 4.7, for $h \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta)$ we have:

$$\widetilde{\sigma}(h) = h \iff f \circ \widetilde{\sigma}(h) = f(h) \iff \sigma^{-1} \circ f(h) = f(h) \iff f(h) = \sigma \circ f(h),$$

hence:

$$h \text{ is fixed under } \widetilde{\sigma} \iff f(h) \text{ is fixed under } \sigma.$$

Using Corollary 1.12, we get:

$$\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q) \simeq \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta)^{\tilde{\sigma}} \simeq \mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)^{\sigma},$$

as desired. We deduce the second statement from the equality $\mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)^{\sigma} = \oplus_{[\alpha]} \mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$ (note that this direct sum is finite by Theorem 3.5) and Theorem 2.26, where we gave a presentation for $\mathcal{R}_{[\alpha]}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$. □

Remark 4.10. We deduce from Theorem 1.11 and Corollary 4.9 that the homomorphism $\mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)^{\sigma} \to \mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ of Proposition 2.28 is (at least, in this particular case) one-to-one.

Recall from Remark 2.27 that $\mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ is naturally $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. From this grading, Theorem 3.1 and the isomorphism f, we can give a grading on $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta)$.

Corollary 4.11. *The shift-automorphism $\widetilde{\sigma} : \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta) \to \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta)$ is graded with respect to the previous grading. Moreover, the subalgebra $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q)$ is a graded subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q,\zeta)$.*

Proof. Recall from Remark 2.27 that $\sigma : \mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$ is homegeneous and that $\mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)^{\sigma}$ is a graded subalgebra. We thus deduce the first assertion from Theorem 4.7 and the second one from Corollary 4.9. □

We now give an analogue of a classical corollary of [BrKl, Theorem 1.1].

Corollary 4.12. *If $\tilde{q} \in F \setminus \{0,1\}$ has the same order $e \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \cup \{\infty\}$ as q then:*

$$\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\tilde{q}) \simeq \mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q),$$

as F-algebras.

Proof. We already know from Lemma 1.13 that the two algebras of the corollary share the same underlying quiver Γ: a disjoint union of $p' = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } e = \infty \\ \frac{p}{\gcd(p,e)} & \text{if } e < \infty \end{cases}$ quivers Γ_e, each quiver Γ_e being given by:

- a two-sided infinite line if $e = \infty$;
- a cycle with e vertices if $e < \infty$.

More precisely, we have the following isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q) \simeq \mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)^{\sigma},$$

where σ is determined by the element $\eta \in I$ such that $q^{\eta} = \zeta^{p'}$. Recalling the description of σ given in (4.6)–(4.7), if v is a vertex of Γ in the copy of Γ_e labelled by $j \in J' = \{1, \dots, p'\}$ then $\sigma(v)$ is given by:

- the copy of v in the $(j+1)$th copy of Γ_e if $j < p'$;
- the copy of v on the first copy of Γ_e, translated by η, if $j = p'$.

Assume that we have a primitive pth root of unity $\tilde{\zeta} \in F^\times$ such that:

$$\tilde{q}^\eta = \tilde{\zeta}^{p'}. \tag{4.8}$$

Choosing $v_j \coloneqq \tilde{\zeta}^j$ for $j \in J'$ in Theorem 3.1, we know that $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\zeta}) \simeq \mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)$. By the description of the action of the shift-automorphism on $I \times J'$ and by Corollary 4.9, we know that $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\tilde{q})$ are isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\Gamma)^\sigma$ for the common shift-automorphism σ. We deduce the statement of the corollary.

To conclude the proof, it remains to find a primitive pth root $\tilde{\zeta}$ as in (4.8). To deal with the case $e = \infty$, it suffices to set $\tilde{\zeta} \coloneqq \zeta$ (recall that, in that case, we have $\eta = 0$ and $p' = p$). Hence, we now assume that $e < \infty$. Since q and $\tilde{q}$ are both primitive eth roots of unity, there is some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, invertible modulo e, such that $\tilde{q} = q^a$; in particular, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\tilde{q} = q^{a+ke}$. Since $q^\eta = \zeta^{p'}$, we get:

$$\tilde{q}^\eta = (\zeta^{a+ke})^{p'}.$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove that there is some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a + ke$ is invertible modulo p, that is, such that $\tilde{\zeta} \coloneqq \zeta^{a+ke}$ is a primitive pth root of unity. A quick (but very powerful) argument is to use Dirichlet's theorem about arithmetic progression: since a and e are coprime, the set $\{a + ke\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ contains infinitely many prime numbers. In particular, it contains a prime $\wp$ which does not divide p, hence which is coprime to p. It now suffices to choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\wp = a + ke$. □

A About $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q)$

The aim of this appendix is to give details for the statements of Remarks 1.9 and 1.10.

A.1 Case $p = 1$

We prove here the statement of Remark 1.9: the presentation of $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q)$ given at §1.2 gives the Ariki–Koike algebra $\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q) = \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q, 1)$ of §1.1. Note that since $p = 1$, the relation (1.19) becomes $st_1' = t_1 s$, thus we have:

$$t_1' = s^{-1} t_1 s. \tag{A.1}$$

The result follows from the theorem below.

Theorem A.1. *The algebra homomorphisms $\phi : \mathcal{H}_{1,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q) \to \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q)$ and $\psi : \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q) \to \mathcal{H}_{1,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q)$ given by:*

$$\begin{gathered} \phi(s) \coloneqq S, \\ \phi(t_1') \coloneqq S^{-1} T_1 S, \\ \forall a \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \phi(t_a) \coloneqq T_a, \end{gathered} \qquad \begin{gathered} \psi(S) \coloneqq s, \\ \forall a \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \psi(T_a) \coloneqq t_a \end{gathered}$$

are well-defined and inverse to each other.

Proof. We first check that ψ is an algebra homomorphism: all relations are straightforward except (1.3), but it follows from (1.18) and (A.1). Concerning ϕ, again all relations are straightforward, except (1.14) (if $n \geq 3$). Note the following consequence of (1.3):

$$S^{-1} T_1 S T_1 = T_1 S T_1 S^{-1}. \tag{A.2}$$

In the following calculation, we adopt the following conventions:

- we use color when a quantity simplifies;
- we use underbrace when we will use a relation;
- we use parenthesis when we did use a relation.

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi(t_1')\phi(t_1)\phi(t_2)\phi(t_1')\phi(t_1)\phi(t_2) = \phi(t_2)\phi(t_1')\phi(t_1)\phi(t_2)\phi(t_1')\phi(t_1)\\
\iff &[S^{-1}T_1S]T_1T_2[\underbrace{S^{-1}T_1S]T_1}_{(A.2)}T_2 = \underbrace{T_2[S^{-1}}_{(1.4)}T_1S]T_1T_2[\underbrace{S^{-1}T_1S]T_1}_{(A.2)}.\\
\iff &S^{-1}T_1S\underbrace{T_1T_2(T_1}_{(1.6)}ST_1\underbrace{S^{-1})T_2}_{} = (S^{-1}T_2)T_1S\underbrace{T_1T_2(T_1}_{(1.6)}ST_1S^{-1})\\
\iff &T_1\underbrace{S(T_2}T_1\underbrace{T_2)S}T_1(T_2S^{-1}) = T_2T_1\underbrace{S(T_2}T_1\underbrace{T_2)S}T_1S^{-1}\\
\iff &T_1(T_2S)T_1(S\underbrace{T_2)T_1T_2}_{} = \underbrace{T_2T_1(T_2}S)T_1(ST_2)T_1\\
\iff &T_1T_2ST_1S(T_1T_2T_1) = (T_1T_2T_1)ST_1ST_2T_1\\
\iff &ST_1ST_1 = T_1ST_1S,
\end{aligned}$$

which allows us to conclude. Finally, the composition $\phi \circ \psi$ is the identity on the set of generators $\{S, T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}\}$, and using (A.1) we find that $\psi \circ \phi$ is the identity on the set of generators $\{s, t_1', t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}\}$. Hence, the algebras homomorphisms ϕ and ψ are inverse isomorphisms and this concludes the proof. □

A.2 Two equivalent relations

We suppose that $p \geq 2$. We prove here the statement of Remark 1.10: in the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{p,n}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(q)$, the relations (1.19) and (1.19') are equivalent. We will even prove a slightly more general statement (cf. Proposition A.3); let A be a unitary ring and $q \in A^\times$ an invertible element. Let s, t_1', t_1 some symbols which verify:

$$(t_1' + 1)(t_1' - q) = (t_1 + 1)(t_1 - q) = 0. \tag{A.3}$$

Lemma A.2. *We have:*

$$(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{2-p}t_1st_1' + (q-1)\sum_{k=1}^{p-2}(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{1-k}st_1' = (\underbrace{t_1^{-1}t_1'^{-1}t_1^{-1}t_1'^{-1}\dots}_{p-2\ factors})(\underbrace{\dots t_1t_1't_1t_1'}_{p-2\ factors})t_1st_1'.$$

Proof. For $p = 2$ we get:

$$t_1st_1' = t_1st_1',$$

which is obviously true. If the equality is satisfied for $p - 1 \geq 2$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{2-p}t_1st_1' + (q-1)\sum_{k=1}^{p-2}(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{1-k}st_1'\\
&= (q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{2-p}t_1st_1' + (q-1)\sum_{k=2}^{p-2}(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{1-k}st_1' + (q-1)st_1'\\
&= (q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{-1}(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{3-p}t_1st_1' + (q-1)(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{p-3}(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{1-k}st_1' + (q-1)st_1'\\
&= (q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{-1}\left[(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{2-(p-1)}t_1st_1' + (q-1)\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)-2}(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{1-k}st_1'\right] + (q-1)st_1'\\
&= (q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{-1}(\underbrace{t_1^{-1}t_1'^{-1}\dots}_{p-3})(\underbrace{\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-3})t_1st_1' + (q-1)st_1'\\
&= q(\underbrace{t_1^{-1}t_1'^{-1}\dots}_{p-1})(\underbrace{\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-3})t_1st_1' + (q-1)st_1'.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now distinguish between two cases. If p is even, using $qt_1^{-1} = t_1 - (q-1)$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{2-p}t_1st_1' + (q-1)\sum_{k=1}^{p-2}(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{1-k}st_1' \\
&= q(\underbrace{t_1^{-1}{t_1'}^{-1}\dots t_1'^{-1}t_1^{-1}}_{p-1})(\underbrace{t_1't_1\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-3})t_1st_1' + (q-1)st_1' \\
&= (\underbrace{t_1^{-1}{t_1'}^{-1}\dots t_1'^{-1}}_{p-2})[t_1-(q-1)](\underbrace{t_1't_1\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-3})t_1st_1' + (q-1)st_1' \\
&= (\underbrace{t_1^{-1}{t_1'}^{-1}\dots t_1'^{-1}}_{p-2})(\underbrace{t_1t_1't_1\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-2})t_1st_1' - (q-1)(\underbrace{t_1^{-1}{t_1'}^{-1}\dots t_1'^{-1}}_{p-2})(\underbrace{t_1't_1\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-3})t_1st_1' + (q-1)st_1' \\
&= (\underbrace{t_1^{-1}{t_1'}^{-1}\dots t_1'^{-1}}_{p-2})(\underbrace{t_1t_1't_1\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-2})t_1st_1' - (q-1)t_1^{-1}t_1st_1' + (q-1)st_1' \\
&= (\underbrace{t_1^{-1}{t_1'}^{-1}\dots t_1'^{-1}}_{p-2})(\underbrace{t_1t_1't_1\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-2})t_1st_1',
\end{aligned}$$

thus we are done. If p is odd, similarly we obtain, now using $qt_1'^{-1} = t_1' - (q-1)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{2-p}t_1st_1' + (q-1)\sum_{k=1}^{p-2}(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{1-k}st_1' \\
&= q(\underbrace{t_1^{-1}{t_1'}^{-1}\dots t_1^{-1}t_1'^{-1}}_{p-1})(\underbrace{t_1t_1'\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-3})t_1st_1' + (q-1)st_1' \\
&= (\underbrace{t_1^{-1}{t_1'}^{-1}\dots t_1^{-1}}_{p-2})[t_1'-(q-1)](\underbrace{t_1t_1'\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-3})t_1st_1' + (q-1)st_1' \\
&= (\underbrace{t_1^{-1}{t_1'}^{-1}\dots t_1^{-1}}_{p-2})(\underbrace{t_1't_1t_1'\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-2})t_1st_1' - (q-1)(\underbrace{t_1^{-1}{t_1'}^{-1}\dots t_1^{-1}}_{p-2})(\underbrace{t_1t_1'\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-3})t_1st_1' + (q-1)st_1' \\
&= (\underbrace{t_1^{-1}{t_1'}^{-1}\dots t_1^{-1}}_{p-2})(\underbrace{t_1't_1t_1'\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-2})t_1st_1' - (q-1)t_1^{-1}t_1st_1' + (q-1)st_1' \\
&= (\underbrace{t_1^{-1}{t_1'}^{-1}\dots t_1^{-1}}_{p-2})(\underbrace{t_1't_1t_1'\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-2})t_1st_1',
\end{aligned}$$

thus we are done. □

Proposition A.3. *We assume that s, t_1', t_1 verify, in addition to (A.3), the following relation:*

$$st_1't_1 = t_1't_1s. \tag{A.4}$$

The relations:

$$st_1't_1 = (q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{2-p}t_1st_1' + (q-1)\sum_{k=1}^{p-2}(q^{-1}t_1't_1)^{1-k}st_1', \tag{Ar}$$

and:

$$\underbrace{st_1't_1t_1't_1\dots}_{p+1} = \underbrace{t_1st_1't_1t_1'\dots}_{p+1}, \tag{BMR}$$

are equivalent.

Proof. By Lemma A.2, relation (Ar) is equivalent to:

$$st_1't_1 = (\underbrace{t_1^{-1}{t_1'}^{-1}t_1^{-1}{t_1'}^{-1}\dots}_{p-2 \text{ factors}})(\underbrace{\dots t_1t_1't_1t_1'}_{p-2 \text{ factors}})t_1st_1'. \tag{A.5}$$

If p is even, this reads:

$$st_1't_1 = (\underbrace{t_1^{-1}t_1'^{-1}\dots t_1^{-1}t_1'^{-1}}_{p-2})(\underbrace{t_1t_1'\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-2})t_1st_1',$$

whence we obtain:

$$\underbrace{t_1't_1\dots t_1't_1}_{p-2}\,st_1't_1 = \underbrace{t_1t_1'\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-2}\,t_1st_1'.$$

Thus, using (A.4) to bring s to the left on both sides, we get:

$$\underbrace{st_1't_1\dots t_1't_1}_{p+1} = \underbrace{t_1st_1't_1\dots t_1t_1'}_{p+1},$$

which is the desired result: the relations (Ar) and (BMR) are equivalent. If now p is odd, relation (A.5) reads:

$$st_1't_1 = (\underbrace{t_1^{-1}t_1'^{-1}\dots t_1'^{-1}t_1^{-1}}_{p-2})(\underbrace{t_1't_1\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-2})t_1st_1',$$

whence we obtain:

$$\underbrace{t_1t_1'\dots t_1't_1}_{p-2}\,st_1't_1 = \underbrace{t_1't_1\dots t_1t_1'}_{p-2}\,t_1st_1'.$$

Thus, using (A.4) to bring s to the left on both sides, we get:

$$\underbrace{t_1st_1'\dots t_1't_1}_{p+1} = \underbrace{st_1't_1\dots t_1t_1'}_{p+1},$$

which is the desired result: the relations (Ar) and (BMR) are thus equivalent. □

Using Proposition A.3, it is now clear that the algebra $\mathcal{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_{p,n}(q)$ coincides with the one defined by Ariki in [Ar95] as stated in Remark 1.10.

References

[Ar95] S. Ariki, *Representation theory of a Hecke algebra of $G(r,p,n)$*. J. Algebra **177** (1995), 164–185.

[Ar96] S. Ariki, *On the decomposition number of the Hecke algebra of $G(m,1,n)$*. J. Math. Kyoto Univ **36** (1996), 789–808.

[Ar01] S. Ariki, *On the classification of simple modules for cyclotomic Hecke algebras of type $G(m,1,n)$ and Kleshchev multipartitions*. Osaka J. Math. **38**(4), 827–837 (2001).

[ArKo] S. Ariki and K. Koike, *A Hecke algebra of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ and construction of its irreducible representations*. Adv. Math. **106** (1994), 216–243.

[ArMa] S. Ariki and A. Mathas, *The number of simple modules of the Hecke algebra of type $G(r,1,n)$*. Math. Z. **233**(3), 601–623 (2000)

[Bo] C. Boys, *Alternating quiver Hecke algebras*. PhD thesis, University of Sydney (2014).

[BoMa] C. Boys and A. Mathas, *Quiver Hecke algebras for alternating groups*. arXiv:1602.07028.

[BMR] M. Broué, G. Malle and R. Rouquier, *Complex reflection groups, braid groups, Hecke algebras*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **500** (1998), 127–190.

[BrKl] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, *Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Khovanov–Lauda algebras*. Invent. Math. **178** (2009), 451–484.

[ChJa] M. Chlouveraki and N. Jacon, *Schur elements for the Ariki–Koike algebra and applications*. J. Algebr. Comb. **35**, No. 2 (2012), 291–211.

[ChPA] M. Chlouveraki and L. Poulain d'Andecy, *Markov traces on affine and cyclotomic Yokonuma–Hecke algebras*. Int. Math. Res. Notices (2015) rnv257.

[DiMa] R. Dipper and A. Mathas, *Morita equivalences of Ariki–Koike algebras*. Math. Zeit. **240** (2002), 579–610.

[Ge] M. Geck, *On the representation theory of Iwahori–Hecke algebras of extended finite Weyl groups*. Repr. Th. of the Amer. Math. Soc **4**(15), 370–397 (2000).

[GePf] M. Geck and G. Pfeiffer, *Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori–Hecke algebras*. London Math. Soc. Monographs, New Series **21**. Oxford University Press, New York (2000).

[GeJa] G. Genet and N. Jacon, *Modular representations of cyclotomic Hecke algebras of type $G(r, p, n)$*. Internat. Math. Res. Not. (2006), 1–18.

[Gr] I. Grojnowski, *Affine $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_p}$ controls the modular representation theory of the symmetric group and related Hecke algebras*. arXiv:math/9907129v1.

[HuMa12] J. Hu and A. Mathas, *Decomposition numbers for Hecke algebras of type $G(r, p, n)$: the (ε, q)-separated case*. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **104**, No. 5 (2012), 865–926.

[HuMa10] J. Hu and A. Mathas, *Graded cellular bases for the cyclotomic Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras of type A*. Adv. Math. **225** (2010), 598–642.

[Iw] N. Iwahori, *On the structure of a Hecke ring of a Chevalley group over a finite field*. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I **10** (1964), 215–236.

[KanKa] S.-J. Kang and M. Kashiwara, *Categorification of highest weight modules via Khovanov–Lauda–Rouquier algebras*. Invent math **190** (2012), 699–742.

[KhLau1] M. Khovanov and A. D. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups I*. Represent. Theory **13** (2009), 309–347.

[KhLau2] M. Khovanov and A. D. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups II*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 2685–2700.

[LLT] A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc and J.-Y. Thibon, *Hecke algebras at roots of unity and crystal bases of quantum affine algebras*. Comm. Math. Phys. **183** (1996), 205–263.

[LyMa] S. Lyle and A. Mathas, *Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras*. Advances Math. **216** (2007), 854–878.

[PA] L. Poulain d'Ancedy, *Invariants for links from classical and affine Yokonuma–Hecke algebras*. arXiv:1602.05429.

[Ro] S. Rostam, *Cyclotomic Yokonuma–Hecke algebras are cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras*. arXiv:1603.03901v2.

[Rou] R. Rouquier, *2–Kac–Moody algebras*. arXiv:0812.5023v1.

[ShTo] G. C. Shephard and J. A. Todd, *Finite unitary reflection groups*. Canad. J. Math. **6** (1954), 274–304.

[StWe] C. Stroppel and B. Webster, *Quiver Schur algebras and q-Fock space*. arXiv:1110.1115v2.

[Ug] D. Uglov, *Canonical basis of higher-level q-deformed Fock spaces and Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials*. Physical combinatorics (Kyoto, 1999), Boston, MA (2000), Birkhäuser Boston, 249–299.